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Précis

Penicillium marneffei is an emerging endemic pathogenic fungus that can cause a fatal systemic mycosis in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in areas of Southeast Asia. The mortality rate is close to 100% when diagnosis and treatment are delayed [1]. Since the HIV/AIDS pandemic arrived in Southeast Asia and since the first case of penicilliosis reported in Thailand in 1988, penicilliosis has become one of the most serious and common AIDS-defining illnesses in this region [2]. Increasingly immunocompromised patients in other parts of the world where the disease is not endemic are diagnosed with penicilliosis after traveling to Southeast Asia and the illness has been reported either in patients with recent or very remote travel histories to these areas [3-10].

Despite being one of the most common and fatal opportunistic infection in HIV-infected patients in Southeast Asia for nearly two decades, there has been a complete lack of clinical trials on the treatment of penicilliosis. Treatment choices therefore must be based upon data from case series and non-comparative studies. The most objective evidence came from a study by Supparatpinyo et al. who described treatment responses (defined by absence of fungal growth and resolution of clinical signs and symptoms) in a series of 80 HIV-infected patients with disseminated penicilliosis. Antifungal choices were at the discretion of clinicians without prior knowledge of antifungal susceptibility testing. Response rates were 77% for amphotericin B, 75% for itraconazole, and 36% for fluconazole [1]. A few years later the same group described a case series of 74 HIV-infected patients with penicilliosis treated with intravenous amphotericin B 0.6 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks followed by oral itraconazole 400 mg/day for 10 weeks [11]. The treatment response rate (defined by negative blood culture and resolution of fever and skin lesions at the end of 12 weeks therapy) was 97%. Remarkably there was only one death. Unfortunately this has not been the experience in Vietnam and elsewhere in Southeast Asia. The basis for choosing intravenous amphotericin B for initial therapy followed by oral itraconazole as maintenance therapy and the reported treatment success rate need to be subjected to clinical trials rather than be accepted currently as the “standard of care”.

Amphotericin B is an expensive drug for most patients at risk of penicilliosis. The need for intravenous access and side effect monitoring requires hospitalization, which adds to the cost burden of patients. By comparison, oral itraconazole is more tolerable and is readily available at a fraction of the price. Itraconazole has been shown to be at least as efficacious and is better tolerated compared to amphotericin B in the empirical treatment of febrile neutropenia [12]. Further, itraconazole (in various formulations) has been shown in case series to effectively treat other serious systemic fungal infections such as invasive aspergillosis, coccidioidomycosis, cryptococcosis, and blastomycosis [13-21]. For this reason physicians in Thailand, Burma, India, and Vietnam often use itraconazole alone in patients who either cannot afford amphotericin B therapy or are able to be treated as outpatient and anecdotally report comparable success rates compared to amphotericin B (personal communications: Nicolas White, MD., Former Director of Wellcome Trust Mahidol University Oxford in Thailand; Nguyen Huu Chi, MD., Director of HIV for inpatients at the Hospital for Tropical Diseases (HTD); and Vo Minh Quang, MD. Director of HTD’s outpatient HIV clinic). Indeed, Ranjana et al. recently reported a success rate of 97% using itraconazole alone at 400 mg/d for 3-4 weeks from India (n=50) [22].
The vast majority of patients with penicilliosis are able to take oral medication. The capsule formulation of itraconazole is the only formulation widely available in pharmacies across Asia. Itraconazole oral suspension was developed (co-formulated with cyclodextrin) to improve the bioavailability of the capsule formulation, resulting in 30% increase in the area under the curve (AUC) [23]. This formulation however is not widely available and is associated with nausea due to cyclodextrin’s osmotic effect, which may affect compliance and potentially be counter-productive in the goal to improve bioavailability [24].

We aim to conduct a randomized, open-label, comparative non-inferiority trial of the efficacy and safety of itraconazole versus amphotericin B for the acute-phase treatment of penicilliosis. If our hypothesis is correct, that itraconazole is at least as effective as amphotericin B, it becomes difficult to justify using amphotericin B in most areas of Southeast Asia where cost has a major role in the therapeutic decision process. However if our hypothesis is incorrect, that amphotericin B is found to be more effective than itraconazole, then there will be empirical evidence for Ministries of Health and policy makers across Asia to make amphotericin B more widely available and affordable. This study provides opportunities to investigate the microbiologic and pharmacokinetic basis for observed efficacies from the 2 antifungal regimens. The questions whether time to negative fungal blood culture and/or whether early fungicidal activities do correlate with treatment outcomes are relevant both to clinicians as well as clinical trial investigators studying fungal diseases. Population kinetic models for the 2 antifungal drugs will be constructed and pharmacokinetic variables such as peak/trough serum drug concentration, area under the curve in a drug concentration versus time analysis, and drug minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) will be correlated with microbiological and treatment outcomes. These results will further implement treatment strategies for this infection.
1 Background

1.1 Introduction

*Penicillium marneffei* is an emerging endemic pathogenic fungus that can cause a fatal systemic mycosis in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and advanced acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) in areas of Southeast Asia. The mortality rate is close to 100% if left untreated or when diagnosis and treatment are delayed [1]. Since the first case of disseminated penicilliosis was reported in an HIV-positive patient in Thailand in 1988, penicilliosis has become the third most common AIDS-defining illness (after tuberculosis and cryptococcosis) in Northern Thailand [2]. Penicilliosis has been reported from Northeast India across Myanmar, Thailand, Cambodia, Viet Nam, Taiwan, Hong Kong, southern China to Malaysia and Indonesia [25]. Increasingly immunocompromised patients in other parts of the world where the disease is not endemic are diagnosed with penicilliosis after traveling to Southeast Asia, and the illness has been reported either in patients with recent or very remote travel histories to these areas [3-5].

1.2 Epidemiology

*Penicillium marneffei* was first isolated by Segretain from hepatic lesions of a captive bamboo rat (*Rhizomys sinensis*) used for experimental infections at the Pasteur Institute in Dalat, Vietnam in 1956. The bamboo rat died spontaneously from the reticuloendothelial mycosis [26]. The fungus was named *Penicillium marneffei* in honor of Hubert Marneffei, Director of the Pasteur Institute of Vietnam. Human penicilliosis was first described by Segretain himself after prickng his own finger with a needle filled with *P. marneffei* used to inoculate hamsters [27]. He developed a small nodule at the site of inoculation with maxillary lymphadenopathy. The infection was cured by 30 days of oral nystatin. Fourteen years later Di Salvo reported the first disseminated penicilliosis in 1973 in a US missionary with Hodgkin’s disease who lived in South Carolina and had traveled through Southeast Asia [28]. The patient had recurrent hemoptysis and underwent pneumonectomy. Pathology showed granuloma with yeast-like cells on tissue sections, and *P. marneffei* grew on culture. The same year 5 more cases were reported from Bangkok, Thailand. The rarity of human penicilliosis changed when the HIV pandemic arrived in Southeast Asia. In 1988, cases of *P. marneffei* infection were first being observed in patients with advanced AIDS. *P. marneffei* has now become the third most common AIDS-defining illness (after tuberculosis and cryptococcosis) in Northern Thailand [2].

The only known natural hosts are bamboo rats (*Rhizomys* and *Cannomys* species) and humans [29-32]. *P. marneffei* can be isolated from the soil around bamboo rats’ burrows, though only rarely from other environmental sources [33]. The exact route of acquisition in humans is unknown but it is thought unlikely to be from direct contact with the rodents and presumed to be via inhalation and, rarely, inoculation [34]. In Thailand human infection is seasonal – particularly coinciding with rainy seasons – and has been associated with soil exposure [34, 35]. There is no evidence of person-to-person spread. Infections have been described solely in those exposed in Asia except for one case in an HIV-infected African male with no such travel history [36]. It has become the third most common HIV-related opportunistic infection in Southeast Asia – accounting for 15% of all HIV-related illness in Northern Thailand [2], affecting 10% of the AIDS patients in Hong Kong [37], and is the second most common single pathogen isolated from blood cultures in the Hospital for Tropical Diseases (HTD), Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam after *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Patients with cellular immune deficiency are at risk for this
disease. Patients with advanced AIDS tend to develop disseminated disease at CD4 count <50 cells/µL. Despite more than a decade of research efforts, the natural reservoir and vehicle of transmission of *P. marneffei*, whether it is a zoonosis or a sapronosis, remains perplexing.

### 1.3 Microbiology

*P. marneffei* is the only known *Penicillium* species that exhibits temperature-dependent dimorphic feature. At 25°C the fungus grows as mycelia (a mold) with septate hyphae that bear conidiophores and conidia (similar to *Aspergillus* spp), producing a deep wine red, water-soluble pigment that diffuses into the Sabouraud agar medium. This feature is similar to other 220 *Penicillium* species; of those 8 species are known to be pathogenic. At 37°C on artificial medium or in human tissue, *P. marneffei* converts to yeast-like spherical that multiplies by binary fission and not budding. The fission yeast cells represent the parasitic form of *P. marneffei*. This form is seen in the intracellular infection of the macrophages. The mold to yeast transformation or phase transition, which is thermally regulated, is a diagnostic characteristic of *P. marneffei* and is thought to be the key factor in its' virulence.

### 1.4 Clinical Features

Patients with penicilliosis have various manifestations and degrees of severity. Common clinical presentations include fever, fatigue, weight loss, non productive cough, generalized lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, and characteristic skin lesions [1, 11, 38]. CD4 count at presentation is generally less than 50/mm³. Blood culture is positive in about 88% of patients while skin lesions are present in 85% of patients in one series [11]. Skin lesions tend to be papules with central necrosis, generally referred to as “molluscum-like” lesions on face, neck, oral mucosa, upper more than lower extremities and trunk. The skin lesions are very similar to those seen in disseminated cryptococcosis, and concomitant cryptococcosis (5% in one study in Thailand) and other opportunistic infections are not un-common in patients with penicilliosis. The most common laboratory abnormality is anemia. 76% of patients have hemoglobin level of 10 g/dl or less, but it was not possible to unequivocally attribute anemia to *P. marneffei* alone in patients with late stage HIV. Other reported manifestations include ulcerated oral mucosal lesions [39], consolidated pneumonia or pulmonary nodule [40], hepatic penicilliosis without any skin lesion [41], pericarditis, osteoarticular lesions of ribs, long bones, skull, lumbar vertebrae, scapula, and temporomandibular region [42, 43].

### 1.5 Laboratory Diagnosis

Laboratory diagnosis is currently based on direct microscopic identification of the fungus with confirmation by culture, though there has been increasing interest in the use of immunodiagnostics and molecular assays.

#### 1.5.1 Microscopy & Culture

Microscopically *P. marneffei* can be seen as oval or round intracellular and extracellular yeasts in biopsies of cutaneous lesions, bone marrow, lymph node, liver and blood smear using Wright, Wright-Giemsa, or Gomori-Grocott methenamine (GMS) stains. More rarely, the infection has been diagnosed directly from sputum, pleural fluid, cerebro-spinal fluid, pericardium, stool, urine and fine needle aspirates of lymph nodes [2, 44, 45]. *P. marneffei* has characteristic central
septate or cross-wall formation that is essentially diagnostic. The differential diagnosis of such intracellular yeasts include histoplasmosis (which also has similar clinical presentations), cryptococcosis (which is associated with more neurological symptoms and less respiratory involvement, lymphadenopathy and hepatosplenomegaly), and *Candida glabrata* [46, 47].

Unlike many other endemic dimorphic fungi, *P. marneffei* grows readily in standard media and Sabouraud dextrose agar and can take up to 4-14 days. The classical culture characteristics of thermal dimorphism and the production of red pigment are easily demonstrated. Bone marrow, blood, and biopsies of skin lesions all have high culture yield (100%, 76%, and 90% respectively) [48].

1.5.2 Immunodiagnosis

Various methods have been developed assessing host antibody production (such as immunoblot, indirect fluorescent antibody test [IFAT], latex agglutination, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [ELISA]); however they have so far been studied on only small numbers of patients or there have been issues with sensitivity and specificity [49-51]. There has been recent interest in detecting circulating galactomannan. The *Penicillium* galactomannan has considerable homology to that of *Aspergillus* and commercial assays for the detection of the latter have recently been investigated in *P. marneffei* infection. Sera from 11 of 15 culture confirmed penicilliosis cases were positive though 9% of HIV positive controls were apparent false positives [52].

1.5.3 Urinary Antigen Assay

An ELISA test for detection of *P. marneffei* antigen in urine has been developed and prospectively evaluated in 33 HIV-positive Thai patients with culture-confirmed *P. marneffei* and 248 patients with other diagnoses [53]. This ELISA detected *P. marneffei* antigen in the urine samples of all 33 (100%) patients with penicilliosis with a median titer of 1:20,480. *P. marneffei* was not detected in 94% of samples from healthy volunteer; however it was detected in 27% of 248 urine samples from inpatients with diagnoses other than penicilliosis (include cryptococcosis, melioidosis, and other bacteria septicemia). Sensitivity and specificity for this assay to detect penicilliosis at a cut off titer of 1:40 was 97% and 98% with the positive predictive value of 84.2% and negative predictive value of 99.7%.

The same polyclonal hyperimmune IgG was used to develop a simplified dot blot ELISA and a latex agglutination test for detecting *P. marneffei* antigenuria and prospectively evaluated in urine specimens from 37 patients with culture proven penicilliosis and 300 controls (52 healthy and 248 hospitalized patients without penicilliosis). The sensitivities for ELISA, dot blot ELISA, and agglutination test were 97.3%, 94.6%, and 100% respectively; specificities were 98%, 97.3%, and 99.3%, respectively. Of these 3 promising tests, the agglutination test seems to be the simplest, most rapid and robust and needs to be validated in larger prospective cohort studies for both diagnostic purpose and for use as a surrogate marker of treatment response and treatment relapse.
1.5.4 Molecular Diagnosis
Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays, detecting fungal DNA in blood samples, have been developed. High sensitivity and specificity have been reported. However the protocols remain labor (and equipment) intensive and they have yet to enter routine clinical practice [54].

1.6 Treatment
Disseminated penicilliosis has a high mortality if untreated. All 9 patients who were not treated died from disseminated disease in an early series [1]. In vitro *P. marneffei* is highly sensitive to itraconazole, ketoconazole, miconazole, voriconazole, terbinafine, and 5-fluorocytosine - intermediately sensitive to amphotericin B but largely resistant to fluconazole [1, 55-58]. No clear data are presently available for the echinocandins, though they may work poorly against the pathogenic yeast phase [59].

1.6.1 Acute infection
There have been no comparative trials on the acute treatment of penicilliosis, and thus treatment choices must be based upon data from case series and in vitro data on antifungal sensitivities. An early case series of 80 consecutive HIV positive Thai patients with penicilliosis described responses to treatment with amphotericin B, itraconazole, or fluconazole. In addition, 30 isolates underwent antifungal sensitivity testing. The failure rates (defined as persistent fungemia, clinical deterioration, or lack of clinical improvement) were 22.8%, 25%, 63.6%, and 100% for amphotericin B, itraconazole, fluconazole, and no treatment respectively. Treatment choice was at the discretion of the attending physician without knowledge of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antifungal drugs for the isolates. Consistent with the poorer response to fluconazole, there were consistently higher in vitro MICs for this drug (73% of isolates were classified as borderline susceptible or resistant). 41% of isolates tested for amphotericin B susceptibility were classified as only moderately sensitive or resistant, but despite this the *Penicillium*-attributable death rate was low (12.8%) in patients receiving amphotericin B. All isolates were sensitive to 5-fluorocytosine [1].

1.6.2 Amphotericin B therapy
A subsequent series described 74 HIV patients with disseminated penicilliosis treated with amphotericin B 0.6 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks followed by itraconazole 400 mg/day for 10 weeks [4]. All patients received cotrimoxazole as primary prophylaxis for *Pneumocystis jiroveci*. Remarkably there were no deaths in the study. The treatment success rate (defined by negative blood culture and resolution of fever and skin lesions at the end of 12 weeks therapy) was 97%. It is not clear from the report how this treatment strategy was chosen and the basis for the high success rate compared to early trials. Nevertheless, this treatment regimen has become the "standard of care".

Unfortunately amphotericin B is a prohibitively expensive drug for most patients at risk of penicilliosis, and the requirement for hospitalization adds to the cost burden to patients. For this reason, physicians in Thailand, Burma, India, and Vietnam in practice use itraconazole alone in patients who either cannot afford amphotericin B therapy or who are clinically stable enough to be treated as outpatient and report comparable success rates compared to amphotericin B (personal communications: Nicolas White, MD. former Director of Wellcome Trust Mahidol...
1.6.3 Itraconazole therapy
In a small case series of 10 HIV-infected Thai patients with penicilliosis who were treated with itraconazole 400 mg/day monotherapy for 2 months, two patients died while on therapy; the other 8 achieved clinical improvement, but the mean duration to culture negative was unacceptably long at 57 days [60]. A more recent study from India described successful treatment with itraconazole 400 mg/day for 3-4 weeks with a remarkable success rate of 97% (N=40 patients) [22]. However if the number of loss to follow up (N=10) is stringently considered as failure, the success rate is reduced to 78%. Oral itraconazole has been shown to be at least as efficacious and have less side effects compared to amphotericin B in empirical treatment of febrile neutropenia [12]. Further, itraconazole has been shown in case series to effectively treat other serious systemic fungal infections such as invasive aspergillosis, coccidioidomycosis, cryptococcosis, and blastomycosis [13-21]. Unfortunately treatment response rates for different drugs cannot be compared across different studies that employ different study designs and study endpoints.

1.6.4 Secondary prophylaxis
Before the widespread introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) it was recognized that disease relapse rate after initial treatment success is as high as 57% with the median relapse time of 24 weeks [11]. A subsequent randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of itraconazole secondary prophylaxis (200 mg once/day) was discontinued early as all relapses were within the placebo arm [61]. Long term maintenance therapy with itraconazole has since been adopted.

1.6.5 Discontinuation of secondary prophylaxis
Several reports have investigated the discontinuation of itraconazole secondary prophylaxis after immune reconstitution from HAART. However, all have been retrospective observational studies. There were no relapses after itraconazole discontinuation in 33 patients with a CD4 lymphocyte count >100/µL for >6 months who were followed for a median time of 18 months, nor in another study on those stabilized on HAART which unfortunately did not specify CD4 counts [62, 63]. One relapse was described in a series of 19 patients who discontinued prophylaxis at a median CD4 lymphocyte count of 95/µL (18 patients had a CD4 count <200/µL and ten <100/µL) equating to a relapse rate of 1.72/100 patient-years [64]. It therefore appears reasonable to discontinue secondary prophylaxis after significant immune restoration from antiretrovirals, though exact criteria need to be established in larger, prospective, randomized studies.

1.6.6 Primary prophylaxis
The potential for primary prophylaxis for fungal opportunistic infection in advanced HIV patients has been explored with a randomized placebo-controlled double-blinded study of itraconazole (200 mg/day) in those with CD4 lymphocyte counts <200/µL [65]. There was a significant decrease in the incidence of both cryptococcosis and penicilliosis in the intervention group
(principally in those with CD4 count <100/µL); however there was no survival advantage to being on itraconazole (though the study was not powered for this end-point). This intervention has not been adopted in clinical practice.

1.7 Immune Responses

The mechanism of host-fungus interaction and host immune response to *P. marneffei* are not completely understood. Infection is presumably via inhalation of conidia from the environment; although this has never been definitely shown. Phagocytic cells are likely the primary line of host defense against this fungus. *P. marneffei* conidia are able to recognize fibronectin and bind to laminin via a sialic acid-specific lectin [66]. This may play an important role in the attachment of conidia to bronchoalveolar epithelia before ingestion by host mononuclear phagocytes. Studies in mouse model have shown that *P. marneffei* can be cleared within 2 to 3 weeks in healthy hosts, whereas in nude mice or in T-cell-depleted mice, *P. marneffei* infection is fatal, demonstrating that T cells, and CD4+ T cells in particular, are necessary for clearing this fungal infection in mice [67]. Recently by use of an in vitro analysis of a sublethal *P. marneffei* infection in BALB/c mice, it was demonstrated that protective immunity follows a Th1 response, with high levels of interleukin-12, IFN-γ, and TNF-α being developed [68]. This finding is consistent with the general knowledge that a Th1 response plays a crucial role in host resistance to intracellular pathogens such as mycobacteria infections and infections with other fungi.

Circulating human monocytes have been shown to respond to *P. marneffei* conidia with an oxidative burst which was significantly enhanced by a macrophage colony-stimulating factor [69]. Human neutrophils are found to have antifungal activity against the yeast form of *P. marneffei* but not the conidia. This activity was mediated by exocytosis of the granular cytolytic molecules from neutrophils rather than by oxygen radical-dependent mechanisms [70].

1.8 Molecular Epidemiology

Modern molecular methods such as multilocus genotypes have provided opportunities to identify isolates of a similar or identical genetic background that are derived from a common infective population, to describe the hierarchical organization of population structure, to identify the reproductive mode and to provide information on the deeper phylogenetic and evolutionary history of the pathogen [71]. Until recently, molecular approaches to typing *P. marneffei* have relied on surveying the genome by using methods that randomly sample for genetic variation.

1.8.1 Restriction fragment length polymorphism

A group from Thailand has used HaeIII digests of genomic DNA to search for restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) in order to differentiate *P. marneffei* isolates from Chiang Mai region [72]. The 22 human isolates in their study were classified into 2 DNA types (type I, 73%; type II, 27%). Another group study of 20 *P. marneffei* isolates from Taiwanese patients that used the same restriction digestion assay uncovered the same 2 HaeIII RFLP patterns that had been found in Thailand with the same frequencies. However, the use of randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) assays yielded 8 different RAPD patterns, suggested that there was greater genetic diversity than had been uncovered by the RFLP assay [73].
1.8.2 Pulse-field gel electrophoresis

A separate study used pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of 69 P. marneffei isolates from several regions of Thailand using restriction enzyme NotI revealed 2 macro-restriction patterns (MPI and MPII) that could be grouped into 9 sub-types, yielding 54 genotypes in total [74]. Another assay using the tetranucleotide repeat primer (GACA)₄ and the phage M13 core sequence identified 4 genotypes that varied in frequency between northern and southern Thailand [55]. However there has been no correlation between the restriction patterns from various P. marneffei isolates and geographic regions or clinical phenotypes. The drawbacks of these typing systems are the low discriminatory power due to small numbers of alleles, the reproducibility of RAPD and macrorestriction profiles between laboratories, and variation within alleles.

1.8.3 Mutilocus sequence typing (MLST)

Recently, sequence-specific assays of genetic variation in the P. marneffei genome have been developed to address the above drawbacks. These are the multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and mutilocus microsatellite typing (MLMT). MLST characterizes isolates by sequencing housekeeping genes (usually seven), and is becoming the technique of choice for bacterial species and Candida albicans [75]. The alleles present at each locus are combined into a mutilocus sequence type, which is deposited in a species-specific online database held at http://www.mlst.net/. However, the use of MLST is limited when it is unclear whether the species being typed (P. marneffei in this case) contain insufficient genetic variation in the housekeeping loci to discriminate between isolates.

1.8.4 Multilocus microsatellite typing (MLMT)

MLMT was designed to circumvent the problem of low levels of genetic variation. It targets loci that contain di-, tri-, or tetranucleotide repeats. These repeats (or microsatellites) are more highly variable than housekeeping loci due to the accumulation of length polymorphisms as a consequence of slippage by DNA polymerase during genome replication [76, 77]. The alleles at each locus are scored by electrophoresing PCR-amplified loci through an automated sequencer, typing the length polymorphisms, and then combining the alleles from each locus into a mutilocus microsatellite types that can be used to query online databases held at http://www.mutilocus.net/. The resulting outputs from these queries can be used to analyze the population genetic structure of the organism or to test epidemiological hypotheses.

Fisher et al. [76] screened 1.7 Mb of P. marneffei genome sequence for microsatellite motifs, using all possible permutations of di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide motifs with a minimum repeat number of six. This research resulted in 30 dinucleotide, 14 trinucleotide, and 5 tetranucleotide repeats being discovered. However, a similar study on the same genome sequence by Lasker and Ran [78] uncovered only 3 microsatellites. It is unclear why there is such a discrepancy, although the software used in the later study excluded tri- and tetra nucleotide repeats. Of the 49 loci identified by Fisher et al [76], 24 were chosen and amplified as multiplex PCRs in four groups of six loci and used to type a panel of 29 clinical and bamboo rat isolates chosen from across the endemic range of P. marneffei [25, 31, 76]. Of the 24 loci, 23 were amplifiable and 21 were polymorphic with between 2 and 14 alleles present at each locus, comprising 19 unique microsatellites in total. Clustering of isolates based on the microsatellite genetic distance D₁
showed that isolates occur within 2 geographically separated clades that account for 26% of the total observed genetic diversity [25]. The “eastern” clade contained isolates from mainland China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, and Vietnam, while the “western” clade contained isolates from Thailand and India, showing that *P. marneffei* has a geographic component to its population genetic structure. A study over a smaller geographical scale in Manipur, India showed that while the microsatellites of isolates were identical within bamboo plantations, they were dissimilar between bamboo plantations [31]. This finding suggests that the population genetic structure of *P. marneffei* may in fact be partitioned over local, as well as large, geographical scales, although further studies are necessary to confirm the generality of this finding.

These molecular methods, particularly the highly discriminatory MLMT techniques provide unique means to screen samples from human clinical populations, from bamboo rat populations, and environmental sources from different geographical areas and to identify the natural cycle of infection by *P. marneffei* in nature.

### 1.9 Pharmacokinetics - Pharmacodynamics of Itraconazole and Amphotericin B

#### 1.9.1 Itraconazole spectrum of activity and mechanism of action

Itraconazole is a triazole compound that has in general broader spectrum of antifungal activity than other azole antifungals, from activity against mucocutaneous candidiasis, dermatomycosis, to deep mycoses including aspergillosis, candidiasis, cryptococcosis, histoplasmosis, and several endemic mycoses such as paracoccidioidomycosis, chromoblastomycosis, and penicilliosis. Itraconazole, like other azoles, has 3 nitrogen atoms in its azole ring which might improve tissue penetration, prolong half-life, and increase specificity for fungal enzymes [80]. The nitrogen atoms interact with the heme iron of the fungal cytochrome P450 3A (CYP3A), inhibiting the function of lanosine 14α-demethylase which converts lanosterol to ergosterol, the main sterol in the fungal cell membrane. This inhibits replication and promotes cell death, or in the case of yeast cells of *Candida albicans*, transformation into hypothetically invasive hyphae [81]. Itraconazole has little effect on mammalian cytochrome P450 enzymes even at high concentrations or on the sterol and steroid pathways of the human pituitary-adrenal-testicular axis [82]. Resistance to azole antifungals rarely develops and appears to be a problem mainly with fluconazole in HIV-positive subjects [81, 82].

#### 1.9.2 Pharmacokinetics of itraconazole

Plasma level of itraconazole can be measured either by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) or by bioassay. HPLC has a high specificity and sensitivity (2 ng/mL plasma) and has been used in most pharmacokinetic studies [83]. The absolute bioavailability of oral itraconazole is 55% (±15%). Oral itraconazole should be administered with food since the bioavailability is reduced by 40% when it is administered under fasting condition [84]. The bioavailability of itraconazole is reduced by 50% when administered with H2 blocker [85]. Since the bioavailability of oral itraconazole is affected by gastric acidity, acid-reducing drugs (H2 blockers, proton pump inhibitors) should be administered at least 2 hours after administration of itraconazole. Itraconazole is highly lipophilic, is strongly protein binding (99.8%), and has a high tissue penetration. Body fluids such as cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), eye fluid and saliva contain...
low to non-detectable amounts of itraconazole, whereas in many organs and tissues the concentrations exceed the corresponding plasma levels by a factor of 1.5 to 20 [86].

Metabolism of itraconazole is extensive in the liver, and excretion of inactive metabolites occurs primarily in the urine and feces. Dosing of oral itraconazole does not need to be adjusted for renal insufficiency. A hepatic metabolite, hydroxyitraconazole, is bioactive and has activity similar to that of the parent compound [87]. Because of the high volume distribution of itraconazole, oral or intravenous loading doses are needed to reach protective level quickly especially when given for treatment of systemic mycosis. It is recommended that 600 mg/day in two divided doses for 3 days is used for oral loading dose, and 400 mg/day in 2 divided doses for 2 days is used for intravenous loading doses.

1.9.3 Itraconazole formulations

Oral itraconazole suspension and intravenous formulations have recently been developed to circumvent the variation in serum concentrations of itraconazole capsules. In general the oral suspension (with cyclohextrin) preparation is more readily absorbed than the tablets, resulting in roughly a 30% larger AUC than with the tablet preparation. Peak serum concentration at steady state, after the oral solution at a dose of 200 mg twice daily, ranged from 513 to 2,278 ng/L with a median concentration of 1,326 ng/L. In contrast, the peak serum concentration at steady state after administration of the capsule formulation at the same dose ranged from 297 to 1,609 ng/L with a median value of 741 ng/L [88]. Opposite to the tablet formulation, the absorption of the liquid suspension is enhanced when it is taken in a fasted state and has a more predictable absorption. Nausea is more common with the liquid formulation due to the osmotic effects of cyclohextrin. This may affect compliance and is potentially counter-productive in the goal to improve bioavailability.

The same vehicle (cyclohextrin) is used to solubilise the IV formulation as the oral solution. This vehicle is known to accumulate in patients with impaired renal function and therefore, use of the intravenous preparation is limited to patients with a creatinine clearance >30 mL/min and is usually reserved for patients with severe infections who are intolerant of amphotericin B. The intravenous formulation is no longer manufactured in the United States but is available in some other countries.

1.9.4 Pharmacodynamics of itraconazole

The concentration-effect relationship for any systemic antifungal agent remains a controversial issue. Historically the target plasma level for itraconazole has been estimated at 250 ng/mL (by HPLC) based on the in vitro IC\textsubscript{90} (the concentration needed to achieve 90% reduction in replication) [89, 90]. Numerous itraconazole concentration-effect studies have been undertaken and each has demonstrated a link to drug efficacy [15, 17, 91]. A similar relationship for toxicity has not been identified. The pharmacodynamic efficacy investigations include both preclinical animal model and clinical trials using itraconazole both as prophylaxis to prevent the development of invasive fungal disease and as treatment of invasive fungal diseases. In a group of 21 patients with invasive aspergillosis, mean itraconazole concentration in responders was 6.5 mg/L and 4.2 mg/L in nonresponders (based on a microbiologic assay) [17]. A similar quantitative relationship was observed in a group of patients with nonmeningeal coccidioidomycosis. In this cohort of 39 patients, itraconazole concentrations measured by bioassay were 6.5 ± 4.2 mg/L in the 28 patients who had a clinical response and 4.0 ± 3.2 mg/L in 11 nonresponders [91]. In another study of 25 patients with HIV and cryptococcal meningitis,
trough itraconazole concentrations exceeding 1 mg/L was observed in the group of patients with 100% response rate; whereas trough concentrations below 1 mg/L was observed in the group of patients with a 66% response rate [15].

In regards to investigations of itraconazole use as prophylaxis to prevent the development of invasive fungal disease, the relationship is similar to that observed in treatment studies; however, the concentrations associated with effective disease prevention is two to fourfold lower than that shown necessary for fungal disease treatment [92-94]

1.9.5 Clinical experiences with itraconazole for prophylaxis and treatment of invasive fungal diseases

In clinical trials, itraconazole oral solution (5 mg/kg/day) was more effective at preventing systemic fungal infection in patients with hematological malignancy than placebo, fluconazole suspension (100 mg/day), oral amphotericin B (2 g/kg/day) and was highly effective at preventing fungal infections in liver transplant recipients [13, 13, 95]. There were no unexpected AEs with the itraconazole oral solution in any of these trials. In a randomized clinical trial, intravenous itraconazole solution is at least as effective as intravenous amphotericin B in the empirical treatment of neutropenic patients with systemic fungal infections, and drug-related AEs are more frequent in patients treated with amphotericin B [12]. Itraconazole has been successfully used to treat a variety of invasive fungal infections including invasive aspergillosis, coccidioidomycosis, cryptococcosis, and blastomycosis in case series [13-21]. However, both the lack of direct systematic comparative studies and the reported variable bioavailability of the tablet formulation of itraconazole have contributed to the slow coming of this drug.

1.9.6 Amphotericin B introduction

Amphotericin B is a polyene antibiotic first isolated in 1955 from Streptomyces nodosus. It is a broad antimycotic agent and a highly antiparasitic agent. After 5 decades of experiences and the births of newer antifungal drug classes, amphotericin B remains the agent of choice for many invasive fungal infections. Amphotericin B has a broad spectrum of action that includes most of the major fungal pathogens of man. This drug binds to the membrane sterols of fungal cells, causing impairment of their barrier function and loss of cell constituents. Metabolic disruption and cell death are consequent upon membrane alterations.

1.9.7 Amphotericin formulations

The most important drawback to the formulation of amphotericin B is that it is scarcely soluble in water. The reference conventional formulation Fungizone® which was a mixture with deoxycholate was developed for intravenous administration; unfortunately this formulation is nephrotoxic. Second generation amphotericin B formulations which depend on different lipid-carrier systems were developed in the 1990s to circumvent this side effect. These are Abelcet® (ABLC), AmBisome® (L-AmB) and Amphotec® (ABCD). Abelcet® is a formulation with 2 phospholipids in a 1:1 drug-to-lipid molar ratio, has a better therapeutic index and lower risk of renal disorders at a dosage of 1-5 mg/kg/day. Amphotec® is a formulation with cholesterol sulfate in equimolar concentrations, has similar antifungal efficacy as Fungizone® but less cytotoxic and hemolytic. AmBisome® formulation is integrated into small unilamellar liposomes and is superior to Fungizone® in bioavailability and side effects. Ostrosky-Zeichner et al have summarized 10 major controlled clinical studies and concluded that no study has ever shown a lipid new amphotericin B formulation to be less effective than Fungizone®, and some studies
show strong evidence that the new formulations may be more effective and consistently less toxic than Fungizone®. In resource rich countries, these new formulations are used more commonly as their lower rate of side effects are usually considered to outweigh their high costs and to afford the use of higher doses [96].

1.9.8 Amphotericin B pharmacokinetics
Due to its low solubility amphotericin B gastrointestinal uptake of oral formulation is minimal, and IV infusion remains the route of choice. Amphotericin B is extensively bound to plasma proteins (~95%) by β-lipoproteins, albumin, and α1-acid glycoprotein [97]. Amphotericin B is highly amphipathic in nature (being both hydrophilic and hydrophobic). In water it forms a mixture of water-soluble monomers and oligomers with insoluble aggregates [96]. Different aggregation states can be present in the same formulation, the proportions of each association form has been shown to depend on the interaction between amphotericin B and solvents such as amphotericin B concentrations [98], the medium in which the drug is dispersed [99], the action of surfactants and serum albumin [100, 101], or the temperature they have been exposed to. The various aggregation states of amphotericin B may interact with membrane sterol in different ways to induce changes in cell membrane, and may have different impacts on amphotericin efficacy and toxicity.

1.9.9 Comparison of amphotericin B and itraconazole in empirical treatment of invasive fungal infection
In an open, randomized, controlled, multicenter trial, powered for equivalence, involving 60 oncology centers in 10 countries evaluated 384 neutropenic patients with cancer who had persistent fever that did not respond to antibiotic therapy, itraconazole and amphotericin B have at least equivalent efficacy, and itraconazole is associated with significantly less toxicity than amphotericin B [12]. In another open, randomized controlled study evaluated 162 patients with underlying hematological malignancy and febrile neutropenia, significantly fewer itraconazole patients discontinued treatment due to any AE(22.2 vs. 56.8% AMB [amphotericin B]; p < 0.0001). The main reason for discontinuation was a rise in serum creatinine (1.2% itraconazole vs. 23.5% AMB). Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis showed favorable efficacy for itraconazole: response and success rate were both significantly higher than for AMB (61.7 vs. 42% and 70.4 vs. 49.3%, both p < 0.0001). Treatment failure was markedly reduced in itraconazole patients (25.9 vs. 43.2%), largely due to the better tolerability [102]. Another study from Korea compared the efficacy and tolerability of the two drugs as an empirical antifungal agent in 96 patients with febrile neutropenia. The overall success rates were 47.9% for itraconazole and 43.8% for amphotericin B deoxycholate (% difference: 4.1% [95% confidence interval for the difference: -15.8 to 24]), which fulfilled the statistical criteria for the non-inferiority of itraconazole. The proportions of patients who survived for at least seven days after discontinuation of therapy or who were prematurely discontinued from the study were not significantly different between the two groups. The rates of breakthrough fungal infections and resolution of fever during neutropenia were similar in both groups. More patients who received amphotericin B deoxycholate developed nephrotoxicity, hypokalemia or infusion-related events than did those patients who received itraconazole (nephrotoxicity: 16.7% vs. 1.8%, hypokalemia: 66.7% vs. 24.6%, and infusion-related events: 41.7% vs. 3.5%, respectively) [103].
2 Study Objectives

2.1 Primary Objective
To compare the efficacy of Itraconazole and amphotericin B in the acute-phase treatment of penicilliosis as assessed by the absolute risk of death during the first 2 weeks of therapy.

2.2 Secondary Objectives
1. Determine overall survival until week 24
2. Determine time to treatment success (defined by absence of fungal growth in follow up culture, temperature <38°C for 3 days, and complete resolution of skin lesions or lesions in the final stages of healing as judged by treating clinicians)
3. Determine relapse-free survival until week 24 of therapy (i.e., time to the first treatment relapse or death). Relapse is defined as recurrence of culture-confirmed penicilliosis after achieving treatment success at week 12
4. Determine time to culture sterilization
5. Determine the rate of early antifungicidal activities as assessed by the decrease in colony forming unit (CFU) count per mL of blood in serial blood samples
6. Determine safety and tolerability as assessed by Grade 3 and Grade 4 adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs)
7. Identify baseline clinical, microbiological, and/or laboratory predictors of outcome
8. Develop population pharmacokinetic (PK) models of amphotericin B and itraconazole in HIV-infected patients to characterize the absorption, distribution, and clearance, and identify the sources of variance in pharmacokinetic parameters. Correlate PK variables to fungal clearance, early antifungicidal activity, and treatment outcomes.
9. Study the epidemiology of P. marneffei infection, focusing on finding the natural reservoir and vehicle of transmission of P. marneffei. A simultaneous case-control study will be performed to identify exposure risk factor/s for the development of penicilliosis in age, sex, CD4 or WHO-disease-stage matched HIV-infected patients with and without penicilliosis. Detailed exposure histories related to living and working environment (proximity/exposure to any body of water, tropical plants/trees, soil, domestic/farm/wild animals, types of raw/rarely cooked foods consumed, injection drug use history/practices, type/seasonality of jobs, current/past specific activities most days) will be investigated. Global positioning system (GPS) mapping technology will be used to characterize the geo-spatial distribution of cases and controls (Appendix D)
10. Investigate the molecular epidemiology of P. marneffei infection using a number of cutting-edge molecular technologies including highly discriminatory multilocus microsatellite typing (MLMT) and correlate the identified genotypes with clinical and geo-spatial epidemiology data (appendix E)
11. Evaluate an ELISA assay to detect P. marneffei urinary antigen for diagnostic accuracy of penicilliosis and as a surrogate marker for microbiological and clinical outcomes (Appendix F)
12. Determine the cost effectiveness of treating the acute-phase of penicilliosis with itraconazole versus amphotericin B (Appendix G)
13. Characterize the incidence, clinical features and outcome of patients who develop penicillium associated immune reconstitution disease (IRD) (Appendix H)
3 Study Plans

3.1 Study Designs and Overview
This study is a randomized, open-label, comparative, multi-center trial with the following treatment groups:

**Group 1**: intravenous amphotericin B 0.7 mg/kg/day x 2 wks  
**Group 2**: oral itraconazole 400 mg/day x 2 weeks (including 600 mg/day x first 3 days for loading)

After the 2-week acute-phase therapy, all patients will continue on to the maintenance-phase therapy with oral itraconazole 400 mg/day x 10 weeks, followed by the suppressive-phase therapy with itraconazole 200 mg/day until CD4 count rises above 100 for 6 months on antiretroviral therapy (ART) for HIV.

Randomization will be 1:1 and stratified for the study site. Patients will be followed until 6 months post randomization.

3.2 Study Size
Planned enrollment of 440 subjects total

3.3 Study Duration
Study enrollment will anticipate to begin in 2012 and to end when 440 subjects are enrolled and have been followed for at least 6 months. This is anticipated to occur over 4 years.

3.4 Study Population

3.4.1 Screening Criteria
1. HIV positive  
   AND  
2. Age ≥18 year  
   AND  
3. Clinicians suspect penicilliosis illness in a patient with typical umbilicated skin lesions or a combination of the following features without skin lesions: fever, malaise, enlarged lymph nodes, hepatomegaly and/or splenomegaly, cough and/or respiratory complaints, gastrointestinal complaints, anemia, thrombocytopenia, elevated AST, and ALT.

3.4.2 Screening Procedure
Subjects that meet the above criteria will be invited to participate in the study. If the subjects agree to participate and sign the informed consent form, they will undergo the following screening procedures.
- Blood samples for blood culture and routine hematology, chemistry, and liver function tests
- Skin lesion scrapping for direct microscopy and culture as deemed appropriate by treating clinicians
- Urine sample to rule out pregnancy in females
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- Peripheral lymph node aspiration if lymph node size is >1cm
- Bone marrow aspiration only if deemed appropriate by attending physicians
- HIV testing (in accordance with Vietnam MOH guidelines on diagnosis and treatment of HIV/AIDS dated Aug 2009) if not already done

During the screening period (while awaiting culture results), subjects will be treated as clinically indicated, by best medical practice. If empiric antifungal is deemed appropriate, patients will be randomized to receive either amphotericin B or itraconazole. If culture does not subsequently confirm the diagnosis of penicilliosis, subjects will be withdrawn from the trial. After signing the informed consent form, subjects that had culture confirmed penicilliosis at an outside hospital will not require a repeat culture part of the screening step and be directly evaluated with the other inclusion and exclusion criteria.

After screening results are available, eligibility for this treatment protocol will be assessed by the following inclusion and exclusion criteria:

3.4.3 Inclusion Criteria
1. HIV positive
   AND
2. Age ≥18 year
   AND
3. Syndrome consistent with penicilliosis (primary or relapse) PLUS culture-confirmed diagnosis of penicilliosis (from blood, skin lesion scrapping, lymph node or bone marrow biopsy).

3.4.4 Exclusion Criteria (any of the following):
1. Age <18
2. Pregnancy or urine β-hCG positive
3. History of allergy or severe reaction to either itraconazole or amphotericin B
4. Central nervous system involvement (assessed clinically and by evidence of inflammation and/or infection in the CSF)
5. Use of the following prohibited drugs: phenytoin, barbiturates, carbamazepine, rifampin, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, cisapride, terfenadine, midazolam, dihydropyridine Ca channel blocker, cyclosporine, cyclophosphamide, tacrolimus, digoxin, quinidine, ergot derivatives, pimozide, coumadin, or investigational drugs.
6. Baseline AST or ALT > 400U/L
7. Absolute neutrophil count < 500 cells/µL
8. Creatinine clearance of <30 by Cockcroft-Gault formula or on hemodialysis
9. Concurrent diagnosis of cryptococcal meningitis or active tuberculosis (as amphotericin B is the treatment of choice for cryptococcal meningitis, and tuberculosis treatment with INH and Rifampin is contraindicated when used with itraconazole)
10. Current treatment with an antifungal drug for confirmed or suspected penicilliosis for >48 hours

The reasons why patients who meet the screening criteria but are later excluded from the study will be recorded in a separate patient log.
3.5 Randomization

Randomization will be 1:1 and stratification by study site. Each site will have a separate randomization list to ensure the 1:1 ratio of the treatment arms at each site. In addition, to ensure that the 1:1 ratio can be approximately obtained at any time during the study, the randomization list at each site is further divided into even block sizes of 4-10 patients, and within each randomization block, treatment allocation is maintained at 1:1.

A computer-generated randomization list will be produced by a study pharmacist with no clinical involvement in the trial. This list will then be incorporated into a web-based program. This program can be assessed 24 hours/day with secured log in by study personnel from each centre. When a patient is enrolled to the study, an authorized study staff will enter patient details (patient ID, year of birth and patient initials) into the system to obtain the treatment allocation for that patient based on the randomization list. All transactions on the web server will be intermediately logged, unchangeable and auditable.

3.6 Criteria for Evaluation

3.6.1 Primary Endpoint

Absolute risk of death during the first 2 weeks after randomization

3.6.2 Secondary Endpoints

3.6.2.1 Clinical endpoints

- Overall survival until week 24
- Time to treatment success (defined by absence of fungal growth in follow up culture, temperature <38°C for 3 days, and complete resolution of lesions or lesions in the final stage of healing as judged by treating clinicians)
- Relapse-free survival until week 24 of therapy (i.e., time from treatment success to the first treatment relapse or death). (Relapse is defined as recurrence of culture-confirmed penicilliosis after achieving treatment success at week 12)
- Deaths from penicilliosis until week 24 (causes of death will be determined by investigators)
- Time to change of therapy from assigned study therapy

Estimating creatinine clearance (mL/min)

Cockcroft and Gault equation:

\[
CrCl = \frac{(140 - \text{age}) \times \text{weight(Kg)}}{(\text{Cr(mg\%)} \times 72)} \text{ for males} \times 0.85 \text{ for females}
\]

(If unit for Cr is mmol/L, convert to mg% by Cr x 0.01)

Normal range: Male = 90-140 ml/minute, Female = 85-135 ml/minute
• Total number of patients with Grade 3 and Grade 4 AEs and SAEs, and the cumulative incidence of Grade 3 and Grade 4 AEs and SAEs, associated with cessation of randomly assigned therapy between treatment arms
• Antifungal medication adherence
• Incidence of Immune Reconstitution Diseases

3.6.2.2 Microbiological endpoints
• Time to blood culture sterilization
• Rate of early fungicidal activity as determined by serial blood samplings during therapy and measured by the decrease in log colony forming units per mL of blood (CFUs/mL)
• Frequency and patterns of itraconazole and amphotericin B resistance emergence

3.6.2.3 Pharmacological endpoints
• Antifungal concentration time curves
• Maximum antifungal concentrations/MIC, area under the curve (AUC) of antifungals/MIC over time

3.7 Statistical Considerations

3.7.1 Analysis of the primary endpoint and overall survival

This is a non-inferiority trial with a non-inferiority margin of $\Delta=10\%$; i.e., the aim is to prove that the absolute risks of death during the first 2 weeks of treatment in the two treatment arms differ by less than $10\%$ (at worst) in favour of amphotericin B. Two-week mortality estimates will be based on the Kaplan-Meier method. Patients lost to follow-up before the week 2 assessments will be treated as censored. Based on these estimates and corresponding standard errors (calculated according to Greenwood’s formula), a two-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) for the difference in the absolute risks of death will be calculated. If the CI excludes differences of $10\%$ or more in favour of the amphotericin B arm, the primary objective of the trial will be met.

In addition, we will assess the joint effect of treatment assignment and the baseline covariates age, sex, injection drug use, ART naïve/experienced, and presence of fungemia on the primary endpoint. This adjusted analysis will be based on logistic regression. As we expect only few patients lost to follow-up during the first 2 weeks, these patients will be removed from the adjusted analysis.

In a second step, we will analyze overall survival, i.e., time to death during the entire follow-up period of 24 weeks. Overall survival will be summarized by Kaplan-Meier curves and the 2 arms will be compared with a Cox proportional hazards regression model with treatment as the only covariate. In addition, an adjusted analysis will be performed using the Cox model and the same baseline covariates as listed above.

Potential heterogeneity of the treatment effect will be explored in the following pre-defined subgroups:
• Injection drug use (yes vs no)
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- ART status (naive vs experienced)
- Presence of fungemia (yes vs no)
- Baseline CD4 count

3.7.2 Analysis of secondary endpoints

**Time to treatment success:** The cumulative proportion of patients achieving treatment success over time will be summarized with the cumulative incidence function, which takes the competing risk of prior death into account. Comparison between the two arms will be based on the Fine and Gray model with treatment as the only covariate. An adjusted analysis including the same covariates as for the analysis of overall survival described above will also be conducted.

**Relapse-free survival until week 24 of therapy** (i.e., time from treatment success to the first treatment relapse or death): Relapse free survival in both arms will be summarized using Kaplan-Meier curves.

**Other time-to-event endpoints:** Will be summarized using Kaplan-Meier curves (in case they include death in the endpoint) or cumulative incidence functions (otherwise, to take into account the competing risk of death). In addition, they will be modeled with (cause-specific) Cox proportional hazards models including the same covariates as for the analysis of overall survival.

**Adverse events:** Frequency tables and listings of Grade 3 and 4 AEs, SAEs, and AEs leading to discontinuation of the randomized treatment will be produced. The overall frequency of each of these types of adverse events will be compared between the 2 arms with Fisher’s exact test.

3.7.3 Analysis of populations

The primary analysis will be based on the full analysis population including all randomized patients following an intention-to-treat principle, but excluding subjects without microbiological confirmed penicilliosis. As the analysis of non-inferiority trials on the full analysis set is not necessarily conservative, the analysis of the primary endpoint will be repeated on the per-protocol population. This population excludes patients if they meet any exclusion criteria while in the study, are not treated according to the randomized treatment arm, or lost to follow-up before day 14.

3.7.4 Sample size calculation

The inpatient mortality rate of patients with HIV-associated penicilliosis at HTD in 2009 was 10% [5]. Not all of these patients received antifungal treatment before death. On the other hand, this rate did not include out-of-hospital deaths. In considering these opposing factors we estimate that the mortality in both treatment arms will be approximately 10% with a plausible range of 5-15%.

The primary aim of this trial is to demonstrate non-inferiority of itraconazole compared to amphotericin B treatment with respect to overall mortality at the end of 2 week induction.
therapy. The sample size calculation is based on an assumed mortality rate of 15% in both arms, a non-inferiority margin of 10% and a one-sided significance level of 2.5%. Based on these assumption, a total sample size of 400 patients will guarantee a power of 80% to show non-inferiority or, equivalently, that the two-sided 95% confidence interval for the difference in mortality between the two arm excludes an excess mortality of 10% or more in favour of amphotericin B therapy. We expect that the combined proportion of losses to follow-up and major protocol violations will be no more than 10%. To account for this, a total of 440 patients (220 per treatment arm) will be randomized in this trial.

3.7.5 Justification of the non-inferiority margin

Given that without proper treatment, penicilliosis has almost a 100% mortality rate, a non-inferiority margin of 10% and a “cure” rate of at least 85% for patients receiving amphotericin B would allow us to prove that itraconazole retains at least 88% of the benefits of amphotericin B over placebo. A non-inferiority margin of 10% may seem large given that the primary outcome is mortality. We nevertheless regard it as acceptable due to the following reasons:

First, it should be highlighted that the 10% excess mortality for itraconazole refers to a worst-case scenario, i.e. the degree of inferiority that we aim to exclude with 95% confidence. Our actual best guess of the true mortality difference based on the trial data, i.e. the observed difference, will be much less than 10%. For example, if the observed mortality risk for patients with amphotericin B is 15%, the observed mortality risk for patients with itraconazole must be <18% in order to guarantee that the 95% confidence interval excludes mortality differences of 10% or more.

Second, our sample size calculation is based on a conservative assumption regarding mortality. If the true mortality in both arms is equal but lower than 15%, e.g. 5% or 10%, we will have 80% power to exclude excess mortalities of >6% or >8%, respectively, in the itraconazole arm.

Third, in case itraconazole is substantially inferior to amphotericin B treatment, the trial will also have sufficient power to detect this: If the true mortality in the itraconazole arm is 15% and the true mortality in the amphotericin B arm is 6.5% or less, we will have >80% power that the 95% confidence interval excludes 0, i.e. to confirm a difference between the two arms.

Fourth, the possibility of some excess mortality in the itraconazole arm should be balanced with the unavailability of amphotericin B (particularly in provincial/district hospitals), prohibitive costs, the more complex administration, and the less favourable safety profile.

Finally, practicability and feasibility of the trial must be considered [20]. A non-inferiority margin of 7.5% appears to provide little gain but would lead to a sample size of 792 (80% increase), whereas a margin of 5% would result in a prohibitively large sample size of 1,320 (300% increase).
3.8 Subject and Study Modification or Discontinuation

3.8.1 Subject withdrawal/discontinuation
Participants, or their surrogates if the patient is otherwise unable to make informed decisions, can terminate study participation at any point they wish to. If a patient is withdrawn prior to completion of the study, the reason for this decision will be recorded in the case report forms (CRFs). The remaining follow-up evaluation will be conducted if patient consent is obtained.

4 Study Treatment

4.1 Overview
This protocol will compare the two current treatment strategies for acute penicilliosis: itraconazole versus amphotericin B followed by itraconazole therapy. There is no placebo arm (i.e. no arm without active drug administered).

Eligible patients will be randomized to receive either:

A. Itraconazole: 400 mg/day in two divided doses for 12 weeks (including 600 mg/day in two divided doses x 3 days for loading).
B. Amphotericin B: 0.7 mg/kg/day IV x 2 weeks, followed by itraconazole 400 mg/day po for 10 weeks.

4.2 Products

4.2.1 Itraconazole
Itraconazole capsules (Itranstad) purchased by the trial pharmacist from licensed suppliers in Viet Nam and provided to the study participants free of charge throughout the whole 12 weeks duration of the treatment. Study participants will be transferred to the National HIV/AIDS Treatment Program which provides free opportunistic infection treatment and anti-retroviral therapy (ART) as soon as possible.

4.2.2 Amphotericin B
Amphotericin B intravenous formulation purchased by the trial pharmacist and provided to the study participants free of charge for the 2 week duration of the treatment.

4.3 Storage and Handling
The itraconazole capsules will be kept at room temperature (approximately 25ºC or 77ºF). Amphotericin B intravenous formulation will be kept under refrigeration (2-8ºC or 36-46ºF) and not allow to freeze. All medication storage and administration will be regulated through the central pharmacy departments at each study site to ensure good quality and control of medication handling.
4.4 Study Drug Dosing

4.4.1 Itraconazole dosing
Because of the high volume distribution of itraconazole, oral loading dose is needed to reach protective level quickly for treatment of systemic mycosis. Note that itraconazole capsules are to be taken only with food and/or an acidic drink (likely cola drink) as its absorption is dependent on gastric pH. Any gastric acid reducing drugs (H2 blocker, proton pump inhibitor) are not allowed, and concomitant therapies with these drugs are exclusion criteria for the trial. If an acid blocking agent needs to be given, H2 blocker is recommended to be used 6 hours before or after administration of oral itraconazole.

Itraconazole oral loading dose: 3 capsules 100 mg po bid (or 600 mg/day) for 3 days, followed by the standard treatment dose of 2 capsules 100 mg po bid (or 400 mg/day) for a total of 12 weeks.

4.4.2 Amphotericin B dosing
Amphotericin B 0.7 mg/kg/day IV x 2 weeks, followed by itraconazole 2 capsules 100 mg po bid (or 400 mg/day) for 10 weeks. A loading dose of itraconazole is not necessary for subjects already on amphotericin B.

4.5 Product Administration
The initial dose of both components of the study drug should be given as soon as possible after enrollment and randomization. These can be administered with food or a snack whenever possible. Itraconazole needs to be taken with food or an acidic drink (likely cola drink).

4.6 Post Dose Emesis
If emesis occurs within 60 minutes after oral study drug administration, and is thought to be of sufficient volume to evacuate the study drug from the stomach (i.e., 5 cc vomitus probably would not remove the study drug from the stomach), a repeat dose of the study drug should be administered. The maximum number of repeat doses is two (after initial dose) per dosing interval. If all three doses are vomited, this will be recorded and participants will continue with the next scheduled dose. If a patient vomits all given doses within a 24 hour period or if a patient is judged by the treating clinician to be intolerant of oral medication, a nasal gastric tube placement is indicated. Patients who cannot tolerate nasal gastric tube placement will be considered intolerant to treatment, recorded as such and may be switched to appropriate treatment at the discretion of the treating physician.

4.7 Concomitant and Prohibited Medications

4.7.1 Prohibited medications
Concomitant administration with cisapride, dofetilide, ergot derivatives, levomethadyl, lovastatin, midazolam, pimozide, quinidine, simvastatin, or triazolam is prohibited during administration of study drug. Rare cases of serious cardiovascular AEs (including death), ventricular tachycardia,
and torsade de pointes have been observed due to increased cisapride, pimozide, quinidine, dofetilide or levomethadyl concentrations induced by itraconazole. Concurrent use of these drugs is contraindicated.

4.7.2 Category C drugs with amphotericin B where monitor therapy is recommended
Amphotericin B may enhance the nephrotoxic effect of aminoglycosides and cyclosporine. Corticosteroids (systemic) may enhance the hypokalemic effect of amphotericin B.

4.7.3 Category B drugs with amphotericin B where no action is needed
Amphotericin B may enhance the adverse/toxic effect of Cardiac Glycosides such as Digoxin and neuromuscular-blocking effect of Neuromuscular-Blocking Agents such as Atracurium; Cisatracurium; Doxacurium [Off Market]; Metocurine Iodide; Mivacurium [Off Market]; Pancuronium; Rocuronium; Succinylcholine; Vecuronium.

4.7.4 Anti-pyretic
If an anti-pyretic is needed, acetaminophen / paracetamol is recommended.

4.7.5 Anti-emesis
As itraconazole can cause nausea and vomiting, an anti-emetic may be used for intractable symptoms. The drugs listed in Section 8.1.1 may be considered.

5 Study Procedure
See Appendix B for graphical representations of study assessments and frequency.

5.1 Hospitalization
After signing the informed consent form, all enrolled patients will be admitted to the hospital at the participating study site and will remain hospitalized through the first 2 weeks of therapy. Patients who choose to self-discharge before the end of the initial two week treatment will continue to be followed through out-patients visits or at home.

5.2 Initial Evaluation
5.2.1 History and physical examination on day 1
   Including (but not limited to):
   - Presence of symptoms
     - Fever
     - Weight loss
- Enlarging lymph nodes
- Fatigue/anorexia
- Cough and/or shortness of breath
- Nausea and/or vomiting
- Skin and/or mucosal lesions
- Development of symptoms listed above
- Epidemiologic factors (only for patients participating in the case control study)
  - Home and work addresses
  - Type/s of work and specific activities at work
  - Specific exposure to soil, location and type of soil
  - Travel history
  - Exposure/contact with bamboo and/or bamboo rats
  - Animals in household (dogs, cats, birds including chickens and ducks, reptiles, pigs, rabbits or other rodents)
  - Animals in surrounding area (yard, farm etc)
  - Illness in animals noted above
  - Live by or close contact with any body of water
  - Exotic food including raw or rarely cooked food
  - Exposure to ill persons with similar symptoms
- Previous peniciliosis history
- HIV history
  - Injection drug use
  - Antiretroviral history and drugs
  - Latest CD4 count if known
- Allergies
- Physical Exam
  - Vital signs and weight
  - Detailed physical examination
- Clinical Data

5.2.2 Admission clinical laboratory tests
At the time of enrollment, the following routine laboratory tests will be performed:
- CBC
- Blood chemistries
- Urine pregnancy test for women at child-bearing age
- Blood culture
- Skin scraping for microscopy and culture
- Lymphnode aspiration for microscopy and culture if >1cm
- Bone marrow aspiration for microscopy and culture as deemed appropriate by the treating clinician
- Sputum microscopy (Zn stain)
- Liver function test: AST, ALT, bilirubin, LDH
- HIV testing (in accordance with Vietnam MOH guidelines on diagnosis and treatment of HIV/AIDS) if not already done
5.2.3 Admission research laboratory tests
At the time of enrollment, the following research laboratory tests will be performed:

5.2.3.1 Blood draw for fungal colony count
1 mL of blood will be collected prior to the start of antifungal therapy.

5.2.3.2 Blood draw for routine culture
5 mL of blood will be collected at screening for routine culture (which will also culture *P. marneffei*).

5.2.3.3 Blood draw for PK-PD analyses
2 mL of heparinized blood will be collected prior to the start of antifungal therapy for all patients enrolled at Hospital for Tropical Diseases and National Hospital for Tropical Diseases.

5.2.3.4 Archived whole blood for molecular and serology research
5 mL of blood prior to the start of antifungal will be collected, processed and archived at -70°C for serological and molecular research purposes as per protocol.

5.2.3.5 Urinary *P. marneffei* antigen test
20 mL of urine will be collected prior to the start of antifungal and stored at -20°C.

5.2.3.6 Admission chest X-ray
A chest X-ray will be performed at enrollment.

5.3 Interval Assessments

5.3.1 Interval history and physical exam
The following will be performed according to the schedule in Appendix B
- Presence, worsening or improvement of admission symptoms
- Vital signs and weight
- Physical examination
- Signs and symptoms of AEs

5.3.2 Interval clinical laboratory tests
The following will be performed according to the schedule in Appendix B
- CBC with differential
- Blood chemistries including LFTs
- Sputum microscopy (*Zn* stain) in day 2 and 3

5.3.3 Interval research laboratory tests

5.3.3.1 Blood draw for fungal colony count
1 mL of blood will be collected daily during the first week and every other day during 2nd week until *P. marneffei* yeast cells are no longer seen for 2 consecutive days.
5.3.3.2 Blood draw for PK-PD analyses

Patients enrolled at Hospital for Tropical Diseases and National Hospital for Tropical Diseases will participate in a population pharmacokinetic (PK) study. In addition, 30 enrolled patients at the Hospital for Tropical Diseases will participate in the intensive PK analysis. The test schedule for these two groups is shown in the table in Appendix C. For the intensive PK study, we will invite enrolled subjects to participate in this sub-study from the 1st day of enrollment on a continuous basis, and this sub-study will close when 30 subjects are enrolled. 2 mL of heparinized blood will be collected 15-17 times over 3 days (day 1, 2 and 8) following set time points as outlined in Appendix C. For the population PK study, 2ml of heparinized blood will be collected during randomized time blocks on day 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 10 and 12 of hospitalization as outlined in Appendix C, when possible at times of routine hospital care in order to minimize blood sampling. After 2 weeks of hospitalization, PK samples will be collected at outpatient follow-up times at month one, three, and six into therapy. It is crucial that exact time of antifungal medication administration and subsequent blood collection times are recorded in the Case Report Forms.

5.3.3.3 Archived whole blood for molecular and serology research

5 mL of blood will be collected, processed and archived at -70°C for serological and molecular research purposes as per protocol in week 12.

5.3.3.4 IRD blood tests

10mL of blood will be collected at day 6 and at week 16 to study the incidence and characteristics of P. marneffei associated immune reconstitution disease

Left over whole blood or serum from routine clinical or research laboratory tests will be stored at -70°C in case there is not enough blood for a particular test, loss of specimen, etc. The schedule of assessments and collection of research samples will not change without Ethical Committee notification and approval.

5.3.3.5 Follow-up chest X-ray

A follow-up X-ray would be standard care for persons with pulmonary lesions found at enrollment.

Interval assessments can be done outside of the hospital if required by the patient.

The Pharmacokinetic samples may be sent to Manchester University, UK for analysis. Other research samples may be sent to OUCRU collaborating labs in UK, US, Singapore and Thai Lan for analysis.

5.4 Other Samples

If any of the following samples are obtained for clinical indications (or in the course of usual care), a small portion of these samples should be stored at -70°C for later analyses detailed below.
5.4.1 Bronchial alveolar lavage
5 ml of fluid obtained from the bronchial alveolar lavage should be saved for further analyses.

5.4.2 Cerebral spinal fluid (CSF)
1 ml of fluid obtained from the lumbar puncture should be saved for future studies.

5.4.3 Pleural fluid
5 ml of fluid obtained from the thoracentesis should be saved for future studies.

6 Clinical Response Assessments
All enrolled patients will be seen daily both by treating clinicians and study investigators. Daily vital signs and physical exams will be performed. Measures such as temperature, weight, progression or resolution of skin or mucosal lesions, lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, fatigue, cough and/or shortness of breath, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea etc will be recorded daily.

Clinical response is defined as resolution of fever (temperature <38°C for 3 consecutive days) and resolution of skin lesions (either completely gone or in the final stage of healing) due to penicilliosis at the end week 12. This response will also be evaluated earlier during therapy at week 2, week 4, and later at week 24.

7 Clinical Failure or Relapse Assessments

7.1 Clinical failure assessments and management
Subjects that meet the following criteria after 7 days of therapy will be classified as a clinical failure:

- Persistent fungal blood culture, OR
- Persistent worsening of fever and/or skin lesions due to penicilliosis, AND
- The treating clinician judge the patient to be failing current therapy

Subjects who meet the clinical failure criteria at day 7 may be switched to other medications at the discretion of the treating clinician according to the best medical practice. The treating clinician will also make the decision regarding need for continued hospitalization beyond the first 2 weeks of therapy.

7.2 Treatment relapse assessments and management
Subjects who meet developed cultural-confirmed penicilliosis after achieving treatment success at 12 weeks (see section 6 above) will be classified as a treatment relapse.

8 Risks
8.1 Risk of Amphotericin B

8.1.1 Infusion-related reactions

Infusion-related reactions, particularly nausea and vomiting, are common with amphotericin B administration, usually occurring between 15 minutes to 3 hours following the initiation of the dose. Nausea and vomiting may require the use of a phenothiazine such as promethazine (usual adult dose - 12.5 to 25 mg every 4 to 6 hours via deep IM only) or prochlorperazine (usual adult dose - 10 mg IM or IV or 25 mg PR every 4 to 6 hours).

Phlebitis is a complication that primarily occurs in patients receiving infusions via a small peripheral vein. The addition of hydrocortisone (usual adult dose - 25 mg) or heparin (usual final concentration — 500 to 1000 U/L) to the infusion may lessen infusion-related thrombophlebitis, but are not routinely recommended.

Other ways to minimize amphotericin B-induced thrombophlebitis include:

- Infusion of the drug using a central line or a large peripheral vein via a catheter
- Use of alternating infusion sites
- Avoidance of final amphotericin B infusion concentrations exceeding 0.1 mg/mL
- Avoidance of infusion times of less than four hours

Drug-induced fever, chills, and headache can also be seen. These symptoms can be minimized or prevented by premedication with paracetamol (usual adult dose - 500 to 1000 mg PO every 4 hours) and/or diphenhydramine (usual adult dose — 25 to 50 mg PO or IV). Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents may also be useful in this setting. In a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, ibuprofen administered 30 minutes prior to amphotericin B deoxycholate reduced the rate of occurrence of chills from 87 percent to 49 percent [104].

8.1.2 Nephrotoxicity

Amphotericin B administration may result in nephrotoxicity. With amphotericin B deoxycholate, a reversible and often transient decline in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) has been described in 5 to 80 percent of patients. The net effect is an elevation (above baseline) in the plasma creatinine concentration. Although more severe renal failure due to amphotericin B alone is uncommon, the risks of such reactions increase with diuretic-induced volume depletion or the concurrent administration of another nephrotoxin such as an aminoglycoside, cyclosporine, or foscarnet.

Volume expansion with intravenous sodium chloride (a practice commonly known as "sodium loading") may ameliorate the decline in GFR; 500 mL of 0.9 percent sodium chloride is typically given prior to the amphotericin B infusion.

8.1.3 Electrolyte abnormalities

Hypokalemia, hypomagnesemia, and hyperchloremic acidosis are reflections of an increase in distal tubular membrane permeability. Many patients require potassium and/or magnesium supplementation during therapy. Correction of hypokalemia may be difficult in patients with persistent hypomagnesemia.
8.1.4 Other reactions

A reversible, normochromic, normocytic anemia occurs in most patients receiving amphotericin B, but the onset may be delayed for as long as 10 weeks after the initiation of therapy. Transfusions are infrequently required.

Severe allergic reactions (including anaphylaxis) are extremely rare but have been reported.

8.1.5 Patient monitoring

Patients receiving amphotericin B intravenously will be monitored clinically for infusion-related reactions following each administration. Measurements of renal function will be performed 3 times in the first week and 2 times in the second week. If the plasma creatinine concentration exceeds 2.5 mg/dL (265 µM /L), amphotericin B will be permanently discontinued, and the subject switched to itraconazole, and these patients will not be analyzed per protocol.

Serum electrolytes (particularly potassium and magnesium) will be assessed at baseline and 3 times in the first week and 2 times in the second week. Complete blood counts will be measured 3 times in the first week and 2 times in the second week of therapy.

8.2 Risk of Itraconazole capsule formulation

8.2.1 Hepatotoxicity

Itraconazole has been associated with rare cases of serious hepatotoxicity, including liver failure and death. Some of these cases had neither pre-existing liver disease nor a serious underlying medical condition. If clinical signs or symptoms develop that are consistent with liver disease, treatment will be discontinued and liver function testing performed and monitored. The risks and benefits of itraconazole use will be reassessed.

8.2.2 Other adverse events

Most common: dyspepsia, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, constipation, diarrhoea, headache, and dizziness.

Rarely: increase liver enzyme values, some cases of hepatitis and cholestatic jaundice, especially in those treated for more than one month. There have been rare cases of liver failure and death. Heart failure and pulmonary oedema and serious cardiovascular events including arrhythmias and sudden death have been attributed to drug interactions in patients receiving itraconazole. Alopecia, oedema, and hypokalaemia with prolonged use, menstrual disorders, and peripheral neuropathy have been reported in a few patients.

Others: allergic reaction such as pruritus, rash, urticaria, and angioedema; the Stevens-Johnson syndrome.

8.2.3 Post-marketing experience

Worldwide post-marketing experiences with the use of itraconazole include adverse events of gastrointestinal origin, such as dyspepsia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain and constipation. Other reported AEs include peripheral edema, congestive heart failure and pulmonary edema, headache, dizziness, peripheral neuropathy, menstrual disorders, reversible increases in hepatic enzymes, hepatitis, liver failure, hypokalemia, hypertriglyceridemia, alopecia, allergic reactions (such as pruritus, rash, urticaria, angioedema, anaphylaxis),
Stevens-Johnson syndrome, anaphylactic, anaphylactoid and allergic reactions, photosensitivity and neutropenia. There is limited information on the use of itraconazole during pregnancy. Cases of congenital abnormalities including skeletal, genitourinary tract, cardiovascular and ophthalmic malformations as well as chromosomal and multiple malformations have been reported during post-marketing experience. A causal relationship with itraconazole has not been established.

8.2.3 Patient monitoring

Patients receiving itraconazole will be monitored clinically for evidence of hepatic dysfunction. Liver function tests will be performed 3 times in the first week and 2 times in the second week. If the transaminitis (AST/ALT) exceeds 10 times the upper limit of normal or other laboratory evidence of grade IV hepatic dysfunction while on itraconazole, itraconazole will be discontinued, and the subject switched to amphotericin B, and these patients will not be analyzed per protocol.

8.3 Risk of Phlebotomy and of Intravenous Catheter Placement

The primary risks of phlebotomy include local discomfort, occasional bleeding or bruising of the skin at the site of needle puncture, and rarely hematoma, infection or fainting. At the time of enrollment and during study visits, each subject will be asked about participation in other research studies to ensure that blood draws do not exceed the following for all research protocols combined: 450 mL over any 6-week period for adults.

Only subjects who are assigned to the amphotericin B group will have a midline peripheral catheter placed in the arm for amphotericin B infusion. The risks for a peripheral catheter placement are similar to the risks of phlebotomy above, plus a possibility of vein inflammation. These risks are minimized by performance of only experienced medical persons. The study doctors must examine subjects with a catheter every day to look for signs of infection and inflammation and will replace the catheter immediately upon such a concern.

8.4 Risk of Diagnosis

The risk associated with the diagnosis of penicilliosis is that the infection is an AIDS-defining illness, thus potentially exposing patients underlying HIV status that will potentially cause social isolation and stigmatism. All information about patients will be kept confidential and will not be shared outside the clinical and research team.

9 Benefit(s)

9.1 Benefits of Treatment

The benefit of treatment for penicilliosis is clear as penicilliosis is fatal if not diagnosed and treated. The relative benefit of treatment with itraconazole versus amphotericin B is entirely unknown. It has been shown in case series that treatment with either amphotericin B followed by itraconazole strategy or itraconazole alone strategy are both quite effective. Treatment medications (amphotericin B and/or itraconazole) will be provided by the study for the entire duration of the 3 month treatment, which represents a significant relief of financial burden for patients whose access to this treatment may have otherwise been limited.
9.2 Benefit of Diagnosis

The benefit of knowing the diagnosis of penicilliosis is also clear as penicilliosis is fatal if not diagnosed and treated. For the majority of infectious diseases in general, early diagnosis often leads to better treatment outcomes.

10 Alternatives

The alternative to participation in this study is routine standard care by the doctors in the hospital. For confirmed penicilliosis, patients will generally receive an antifungal (amphotericin B or itraconazole) based largely on ability to pay the costs and perhaps disease severity. Patients will have to pay for the cost of drugs and the care for the entire treatment duration. Follow up might not be as stringent compared to patients who participate in this study.

11 Data Management

Source documents will be generated during the study by the site study staff at participating institutions. Source documents include all original recordings of observations or notations of clinical activities, and all reports and records necessary for the evaluation and reconstruction of the clinical trial. Source documents include, but are not limited to, the subject's medical records, research case record forms (paper or electronic), laboratory reports, ECG tracings, x-rays, radiologist’s reports, subject's diaries and questionnaires, biopsy reports, ultrasound photographs, progress notes, pharmacy records, and any other similar reports or records of procedures performed during the subject's participation in the study.

Access to applicable source documents will need to be made available for study purposes. The site investigators are responsible for maintaining any source documentation related to the study. Source documentation should support the data collected on the CRF when the CRF is not the original site of recording, and must be signed and dated by the person recording and/or reviewing the data. Source documentation must be available for review or audit by the sponsor or designee and any applicable national authorities.

Case Report Forms (CRFs) will be used as a data collection tool. The study team will transfer the information from the source documents onto the CRFs. CRFs may be used as source documents if they are the primary data collection tool for specified data as documented in written standard operating procedures. The site Investigators are responsible for maintaining accurate, complete and up-to-date records and for tracking receipt of CRFs for each participant. These forms are to be completed on an ongoing basis during the course of the study by authorized individuals. All subject CRFs will be reviewed by the designated staff and signed as required.

The CRFs and instructions will be distributed to the site(s) by the Principle Investigator. Data entries on paper CRFs must be completed legibly with pen. Corrections must be made by striking through the incorrect entry with a single line (taking care not to obliterate or render the original entry illegible) and entering the correct information adjacent to the incorrect entry. Corrections to paper CRFs must be initialed and dated by the person making the correction. All CRFs should be reviewed by the designated study staff and signed as required with written or electronic signature, as appropriate.
Selected study members will be trained by a Data Manager on how to enter all clinical data as source information, from the CRFs and from laboratory source documents into an internet-based computerized data entry system called CliRes. This is a single computerized data entry that occurs simultaneously as clinical/research data are being collected during the trial as soon as possible after the information is generated. Source documents and electronic data will be verified according to the Trial Monitoring Plan.

12 Monitoring

12.1 Study Monitoring
The trial will be conducted in compliance with this protocol, Medical Research Council Guidelines of Good Clinical Practice, International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and all applicable regulatory requirement(s).

As per ICH-GCP 5.18 clinical protocols are required to be adequately monitored by the study sponsor. Monitors will visit the clinical research site to monitor all aspects of the study in accordance with the appropriate regulations and the approved protocol. The objectives of a monitoring visit will be: 1) to verify the existence of signed informed consent documents for each monitored subject; 2) to verify the prompt and accurate recording of all monitored data points, and prompt reporting of all unexpected SAEs; 3) to compare abstracted information with individual subjects’ records and source documents (subjects’ charts, case report forms, laboratory analyses and test results, physicians’ progress notes, nurses’ notes, and any other relevant original subject information); and 4) to ensure protection of study subjects, investigators’ compliance with the protocol, and completeness and accuracy of study records. The monitors also will inspect the clinical site regulatory files to ensure that regulatory requirements and applicable guidelines are being followed. During the monitoring visits, the investigator (and/or designee) and other study personnel will be available to discuss the study progress and monitoring visit.

12.2 Data and Safety Monitoring Plan
An independent data monitoring and ethical committee (DMEC) will oversee the trial. Unexpected serious adverse events will be reported to the DMEC and to the responsible Ethical Committees within ten working days of occurrence.

The DMEC will perform interim analyses after recruitment of 100 patients or after 20 deaths, whichever comes first. The review will include review of summary tables of grade 3 and 4 adverse events, serious adverse events and an analysis of mortality. Based on these data, the committee will make one of the following recommendations:

- Continue the trial without modification
- Continue the trial with modification
- Discontinue the trial due to safety or other concerns

The DMEC may also suggest discontinuation if the trial results indicate “beyond reasonable doubt” that one of the allocated strategies is better than the other in primary outcome. The Haybittle-Peto boundary, requiring p<0.001 at interim analysis to consider stopping for efficacy, should be used as a guidance. However, the DMEC recommendation should not be based purely on statistical tables but also requires clinical judgment.
As the dissemination of preliminary summary data could influence the further conduct of the trial and introduce bias, access to interim data and results will be confidential and strictly limited to the involved statistician and the monitoring board and results (except for the recommendation) will not be communicated to the outside and/or clinical investigators involved in the trial.

Further reviews will be at the discretion of the DMEC or the request of the Trial Steering Committee. All DMEC reports, replies or decisions will be sent to the Trial Steering Committee and the responsible Research Ethical Committees.

13 Definition and Assessment of Adverse Events

13.1 Definition of Adverse Events

An adverse event (AE) is any undesirable event that occurs to a study participant during the course of the study whether or not that event is considered related to the study drug. An AE can, therefore, be any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding, for example), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the study drug, whether or not considered related to the study drug.

Examples include:
- An increase in severity or frequency of a pre-existing abnormality or disorder (events that are marked by a change from the participant’s baseline/entry status)
- All reactions from sensitivity or toxicity to study drug
- Injuries or accidents (e.g., for a fall secondary to dizziness, record “dizziness” as the event and include the information about the fall in the comment/narrative section and information about the injury secondary to the fall as part of the “outcome”)
- New clinically significant abnormalities in clinical laboratory values, physiological testing or physical examination.

Stable chronic conditions, such as arthritis, which are present prior to clinical trial entry and do not worsen are not considered AEs and will be documented in the subject's clinical chart as medical history.

Clinical or laboratory events are considered adverse events only if they occur after the first dose of study treatment and before the patient completes trial participation. (See below for reporting of adverse events.)

13.2 Definition of Serious Adverse Events

An AE is considered to be "serious" if it results in one of the following outcomes
- Death,
- Life-threatening event (the subject was at immediate risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe),
- Inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization
- Persistent or significant disability/incapacity (a substantial disruption of a person's ability to conduct normal life functions),
- Congenital anomaly/birth defect
- Important medical event that may not be immediately life-threatening or result in death or
hospitalization but may jeopardize the patient or may require intervention to prevent one of the other outcomes listed in the definition above.

An AE needs to meet only one of the above criteria to be considered serious.

13.3 Definition of Unexpected Serious Adverse Events
Untoward medical events which fit one or more criteria of SAE above and which are not considered a part of normal clinical progression of disease or expected drug reaction or any event which becomes of concern to the investigators or study doctors during the course of the trial may be reported as a USAE.

13.4 Assessment of Adverse Events
All adverse events that occur after the initiation of trial itraconazole or amphotericin B therapy will be graded according to the scale below.

- **Mild**: (Grade 1): Transient or mild symptoms; no limitation in activity; no intervention required. The AE does not interfere with the participant’s normal functioning level.
- **Moderate**: (Grade 2): Symptom results in mild to moderate limitation in activity; no or minimal intervention required. The AE produces some impairment of functioning, but it is not hazardous to health.
- **Severe**: (Grade 3): Symptom results in significant limitation in activity; medical intervention may be required. The AE produces significant impairment of functioning or incapacitation.
- **Life-threatening**: (Grade 4): Extreme limitation in activity, significant assistance required; significant medical intervention or therapy required; hospitalization.

[Note: “Life-threatening” as a severity grade is not necessarily the same as “life-threatening” as a “serious” criterion. The former is a “potential” threat to life and the latter is an “immediate” threat to life.]

A laboratory abnormality is an adverse event if it is associated with an intervention. Intervention includes, but is not limited to, discontinuation of treatment, dose reduction/delay, or concomitant treatment. In addition, any medically important laboratory abnormality may be reported as an adverse event at the discretion of the investigator. This would include a laboratory result for which there is no intervention but the abnormal value suggests a disease or organ toxicity. Laboratory events will be graded according to the following criteria:

- Events resulting in severe symptoms, condition or intervention will be classified as Grade 3.
- Events which are deemed to be life-threatening will be classified as Grade 4.

If clinical sequelae are associated with a laboratory abnormality, the diagnosis or medical condition should be reported as the adverse event (e.g., renal failure, hematuria) not the laboratory abnormality (e.g., elevated creatinine, urine RBC increase).
14 Adverse Event Reporting
Since there is extensive experience with both amphotericin B and itraconazole in clinical practice, the fact that evaluation of safety is not a primary objective in this trial, and the fact that both drugs and the dosages used in the protocol are approved by Vietnam Ministry of Health for treatment of penicilliosis, only unexpected Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) which occur at any time during the trial will be reported to the DMEC and Ethical Committees within ten working days of occurrence.

Grade 3 adverse events, grade 4 adverse events and serious adverse events which occur between initial dose of study medication and up to 6 months after initial dose will be recorded in the case report form. These events will be entered into the study database and provided to the DMEC upon safety review as required. Grade 1 and grade 2 adverse events will not be recorded. Events which are not unexpected serious adverse events will not be recorded after 6 months of study participation.

15 Human Subject Protections

15.1 Ethical Approval
This protocol, patient information sheet, informed consent document, relevant supporting information will be submitted to the designated Ethical Committee (EC) and must be approved before the study is initiated.

Any amendments must also be approved by the designated EC prior to implementing changes in the study.

The investigators are responsible for keeping the designated EC appraised of the progress of the study as deemed appropriate, but in any case at least once a year.

15.2 Compliance with Good Clinical Practice
This study will be conducted in compliance with the conditions stipulated by the Ethical Committee of the Viet Nam Ministry of Health and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee, Medical Research Council Guidelines of Good Clinical Practice and International Conference on Harmonisation, Good Clinical Practice (ICH/GCP) Guidelines. In addition, all local regulatory requirements will be adhered to, in particular those which afford greater protection to the safety of the trial participants.

15.3 Informed Consent
The informed consent for this study will be translated into Vietnamese and must be signed by the study participant or legal representative before participation in the study, including any screening procedures. A copy of the signed consent must be provided to the study participant. Signed consents must remain in each study participants study file, and be available for verification by study monitors at any time.

In the case of illiterate subjects, the consent will be read in Vietnamese to the subjects in the presence of a literate witness who will sign to confirm the accurate reading of the form.
If the subject is too ill to consent, the next of kin may consent for the subject. Once the subject is able, the subject will be consented for continuation in the study.

Separate informed consent forms will be signed for participation in the intensive pharmacokinetic portion of the study. Study sites participating in the case control portion of the study will have appropriate information included in the informed consent form. Participants in the control arm of the case control study will have a separate consent specific only to procedures in that portion of the study.

### 15.4 Rationale for Research Subject Selection

#### 15.4.1 Inclusion of adults male and female age ≥18 years

The study will only include adult patients from both sexes and age ≥18 years as the study sites only treat adult HIV-infected patients. Although in Vietnam a patient is considered an adult at the physiologic age of ≥15 years, the actual number HIV-infected patients who is at WHO stage IV disease from age 15 to 18 is likely to be too low to justify their inclusion in this protocol.

#### 15.4.2 Justification of Exclusions

The exclusion criteria are primarily to increase subject safety. The exclusion of pregnant women is to minimize any potential thread to the fetus (with itraconazole) and to prevent significant variation in interpretation of PK-PD data. Children <15 years of age are excluded as there are not enough pediatric HIV-infected patients and therefore not enough of those patients with penicilliosis to feasibly set up a study site in a pediatric hospital. Penicilliosis patients with CNS signs/symptoms might have *P. marneffei* CNS infection and should not receive itraconazole as this drug does not penetrate the CNS very well. Patients with transaminases >10 times upper limit of normal should not be on itraconazole. Patients with absolute neutrophil count <500 cells/µL should not be on amphotericin B. Patients with cryptococcal meningitis need to be treated with the current standard of care which is IV amphotericin B. Patients with active TB or being treated for TB with rifampicin should not be on itraconazole because of drug-drug interactions.

### 15.5 Record Retention

The investigator is responsible for retaining all essential documents listed in the ICH Good Clinical Practice Guidelines. All essential documentation for all study subjects are to be maintained by the investigators in a secure storage facility for 15 years according to the requirements of the Viet Nam Ministry of Health. All stored records are to be kept confidential. It is the investigator’s responsibility to retain copies of source documents.

### 15.6 Storage of Samples

Approximately 15 ml of blood and cultured strains of *P. marneffei* will be stored in the hospital freezer at -70°C only for the secondary analyses specified in the protocol. In the future, other investigators may wish to study these samples and/or data. In that case, EC approval must be sought prior to any sharing of samples and/or data. Any clinical information shared about the sample would similarly require prior EC approval.
Access to stored samples will be limited using a locked room under the control of Oxford University Clinical Research Unit. Samples and data will be stored using codes (not subjects’ names) assigned by the investigators. Only investigators will have access to the samples and data. At the end of the study, samples will continue to be stored indefinitely in the hospital freezer at -70°C.

Subjects may decide at any point not to have their samples stored. In this case, the principal investigator will destroy all known remaining samples and report what was done to the subject.

15.7 Anonymity and Confidentiality
The information obtained during the conduct of this clinical study is confidential. The results of the research study may be published, but patient names or identities will not be revealed. Records will remain confidential. To maintain confidentiality, the principal investigators at each site will keep records in locked cabinets and the results of tests will be coded to prevent association with the subject’s names.

15.8 Compensation
Monetary reimbursement will be provided in accordance with OUCRU policy for lost time and travel fees incurred to study participants.

The study will cover the costs of the 2 week hospitalization and all related research tests. The study will not cover long term care for disability after hospitalization resulting from the complications of the illness. Reasonable transportation cost for the follow-up visits will also be covered
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Appendix A: Study Flow Diagram

Consent

Inclusion Criteria
Age ≥18, HIV positive, clinical and laboratory confirmed diagnosis of penicilliosis

Exclusion Criteria
Pregnancy, CNS symptoms, baseline AST/ALT >400U/L, ANC <500 cells/µL, CrCl <30 by Cockcroft-Gault, concomitant prohibited medications, concomitant cryptococcal meningitis or TB requiring rifampicin therapy

Treatment Randomization (1:1)

Amphotericin B 0.7 mg/kg/day x 2 weeks
Itraconazole 400 mg/day x 2 weeks
(including loading doses at 600 mg/day x 3 days)

After the 2-week acute-phase therapy, all patients will continue on to the maintenance-phase therapy with oral itraconazole 400 mg/day x 10 weeks, followed by the suppressive-phase therapy with itraconazole 200 mg/day until CD4 count rises above 100 for 6 months on antiretroviral therapy for HIV.

(Randomization will be stratified by study site)

Primary Outcome
Mortality rate at the end of 2 weeks of therapy

Follow-up
Daily (first 2 weeks)
Monthly (1-3 months)
Final follow up (6 months)
Appendix B: Trial Procedure Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informed Consent</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical History</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy Test *</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Assessment †</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Adherence Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEs Assessment</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXRY</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC£</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 α</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry &amp; Liver Function Test£</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood culture¥, ©</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Fungal Colony Count ©, β</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular &amp; Serology</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRD testing, λ</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum total blood volume (ml)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine (ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary Antigen£</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skin lesion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear &amp; Culture¥</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sputum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn smear ¥</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pregnancy Test: for female with child-bearing potential only
**Clinical Assessments including vital signs, weight, physical exam
¥ Can be repeated at any time as clinically indicated.
£ Blood tests scheduled for D2-14 may be done within a +/-1 day window period
© Stop taking these samples when two consecutive sample tests are negative
α To be done during 2 week in-patients, if necessary and when subjects are suspected to have an IRD event
β Only done at HTD and NHTD during working hour
λ Besides the above schedule, one more IRIS sample can be taken when the patient is suspected to have IRD
Appendix C: Pharmacokinetic Study Schedule

I. Intensive PK (30 patients ARV-naïve, 15 in each arm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of treatment</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Itraconazole arm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0h (pre-drug administratio n)</td>
<td>0.5h</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>1h 3h 4h 12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0h (pre-drug administration)</td>
<td>1h 2h 3h 4h 6h 12h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphotericin B arm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0h (pre-drug administratio n)</td>
<td>0.5h</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>1h 3h 4h 12h 24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0h (pre-drug administration)</td>
<td>0.5h 1h 2h 4h 6h 12h 16h 24h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Time for taking sample for Population PK (200 patients, 100 in each arm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of treatment</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Itraconazole arm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0h (pre-drug administratio n)</td>
<td>0-2h</td>
<td>2-4h</td>
<td>4-8h 8-12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0h (pre-drug administration)</td>
<td>3h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0h (pre-drug administration)</td>
<td>3h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphotericin arm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0h (pre-drug administratio n)</td>
<td>0-3h</td>
<td>3-6h</td>
<td>6-12h 12-18h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0h (pre-drug administration)</td>
<td>6h (or right after infusion is completed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0h (pre-drug administration)</td>
<td>6h (or right after infusion is completed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before AM dose at follow-up visit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: h refers to the number of hours after a patient takes itraconazole by mouth or after the infusion of amphotericin B is completed.
Appendix D: Secondary Objective #9 - case control study to evaluate the exposure risk factors for penicilliosis

**Purpose:** to investigate the risk exposure and risk behaviors in equally susceptible individuals with HIV/AIDS and not the host susceptibility to penicilliosis.

*Our hypothesis is that the reservoir of P. marneffei is in the environment, in decaying organic materials and in a combination of a type of soil, humidity, and a tropical flora that forms a symbiotic relationship with the fungus. Proximity to water and humidity provide a favorable environment for germination and transmission. Sharing needles is a risk for bloodborne transmission from person to person.*

**Background:** Please refer to section 1.2 of the protocol.

**Experimental Plan:** (See flow chart next page)

This is a hospital-based case-control study that is built into the main trial. Cases (N=200) will be conveniently and randomly recruited from a pool of subjects who enter the trial with culture-confirmed penicilliosis at selected trial sites.

Controls (or disease reference group, N=400) will be randomly selected from a pool of patients with AIDS who come to the outpatient clinic for routine care or who are admitted in the hospital for acute care at our trial centers. Controls may have an active opportunistic infection, but penicilliosis should be ruled out. Controls will be recruited simultaneously (within <1 wk of cases) and will be individually matched 2:1 to cases. The following matching scheme is designed to ensure that controls are similar to cases in term of host characteristics: age by 5 years, sex, and susceptibility to penicilliosis (CD4 by 50 cells/µL or WHO disease categories).

After signing a separate informed consent form, 5 cc of blood and 20 cc of urine will be collected and stored at -70°C for serological tests. All subjects will complete a one-to-one 20-30 minute interview by a standardized questionnaire with a study staff in a private room. Global positioning system (GPS) mapping technology will be used to characterize the geo-spatial distribution of cases and controls.

**Data Analysis:**

Univariate and multivariate logistic-regression models will be used to estimate the odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals of exposure variables and disease in pair-matched data. Assessment of presence of exposure, duration of exposure and recent/past exposure will be made for all exposure variables. Multivariate models will be created through stepwise elimination of variables of interest from univariate analysis while relevant variables will be retained. Additive and multiple interactions among exposure variables will be evaluated.
**Penicillium marneffei** clinical trial protocol

**Case Control Study Flow Chart**

**Case Group (200 patients)**
HIV-infected patients with microbiological confirmed diagnosis of penicilliosis who participated in the trial

**Control Group (400 patients)**
HIV-infected patients admitted to the same hospital or seen in the outpatient clinic for routine or acute care during the same time but do not have culture-confirmed penicilliosis, matched sex, age, CD4 or WHO disease staging.

**Inclusion criteria for control patients:**
- HIV-infected patients >18 years old
- Patients with fever and/or non-specific constitutional symptoms
- All patients with other opportunistic fungal infections: cryptococcosis, candidiasis, candidemia, histoplasmosis, PCP
- All patients with other opportunistic infections: tuberculosis, CMV...

**Exclusion criteria for control patients:**
- Healthy and asymptomatic HIV-infected subjects

**Epidemiologic factors to be investigated in the survey:**
- Home and work addresses
- Type/s of work and specific activities at work
- Specific activities most days of the week in the past 3, 6, 12 months
- Present/past exposure/contact with bamboo and/or bamboo rats
- Present/past exposure to healthy/ill domestic animals (dogs, cats, birds including chickens and ducks, reptiles, pigs, rabbits or rodents)
- Present/past exposure to healthy/ill farm or wild animals
- Types of plant/trees around home/work
- Live by or close contact with any body of water
- Eat exotic food including raw or rarely cooked food
- Current/past smoking of cigarettes/marijuana/opium/others
- Current/past intravenous drug use (heroin or others) and injection practices
Appendix E: Secondary Objective #10 - Molecular Epidemiology of Penicillium marneffei

**Purpose**: to investigate the molecular epidemiology of *P. marneffei* infection using a number of cutting-edge molecular technologies including highly discriminatory multilocus microsatellite typing (MLMT) and correlate the identified genotypes with clinical and geospatial epidemiology data.

**Background**: Please refer to section 1.8 of the protocol.

**Experimental Plan**: Pure sub-cultured isolates of *Penicillium marneffei* from subjects enrolled into this study will be stored with micro beads (called Microbank™) obtained from Pro-Lab Diagnostics in cryovials containing cryopreservative at -70°C at OUCRU laboratories in Ho Chi Minh City and Ha Noi. Typing of *P. marneffei* isolates will be performed by various typing technologies, namely multilocus sequences typing (MLST), multilocus microsatellite typing (MLMT), and direct sequencing of the cell wall glycoprotein called Manoprotein-1 (MP-1) in collaborations with Dr. Brent Lasker from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Dr. Matthew Fisher from Imperial College London. Most of the typing works will be performed in Vietnam, with some samples shipped to collaborators labs overseas for confirmation/comparison of typing results. Please refer to the references for detailed molecular typing protocols [75, 76, and 77].

Parallel to typing isolates from clinical population, we will collect soil specimens and set up air sampling booths from different geographical areas in North and South Vietnam. Both standard culture and quantitative PCR assays will be used to detect presence of *Penicillium marneffei* from the environment, and direct sequencing of MP-1 protein will be used to type environmental isolates.

**Data Analysis**: Typing data from human clinical populations and from environmental sources from different geographical areas in Vietnam will be integrated with clinical data to identify the genetic variations within populations of *Penicillium marneffei* in Vietnam. These data can then be shared among collaborating laboratories interested in typing and ecological/epidemiological studies of *Penicillium marneffei* through the endemic regions, allowing sophisticated temporal epidemiological surveillance analysis, and greater understanding of the evolution and adaptation of this important emerging opportunistic pathogen.
Appendix F: Secondary Objective #11 - Urinary Antigen of Penicillium marneffei for Diagnosis and Monitor of Treatment

Purpose: to prospectively evaluate an ELISA and a latex agglutination assay to detect *P. marneffei* urinary antigen for diagnostic accuracy and as a surrogate marker for microbiological and clinical outcomes of penicilliosis.

Background: Please refer to section 1.5.3 of the protocol. In summary, simple, rapid, robust dot blot ELISA and a latex agglutination assays for detection of *P. marneffei* antigenuria using a polyclonal hyperimmune IgG have been developed and prospectively tested in smaller scale studies (37 cases, 300 controls) with sensitivities and specificities in the upper 90% [53]. We plan to validate these tests in our large-scale case-control study (secondary objective #9, 200 cases, 400 controls) for diagnostic accuracy and for following/correlating *P. marneffei* antigenuria titers with fungal clearance and clinical response during the 3 months of antifungal therapy.

Experimental Plan:
Urine specimens from all patients participating in the trial will be collected at enrollment, 3 times a weeks for 2 weeks during acute hospitalization, week 4, 8, 12, and 24 (see appendix B – Trial Flow Chart). Simultaneously urine specimens will be collected from the control subjects but only at enrollment. Control subjects will be HIV-infected subjects with similar CD4 count or WHO disease staging but do not have culture evidence of penicilliosis. They ideally will be patients with a variety of other common opportunistic infections seen in Vietnam, including other fungal infections such as cryptococcosis, candidemia/candidiasis, PCP, undiagnosed histoplasmosis…Inclusion of other fungal infections will add to the reliability of the specificity.

Urine samples will be stored at −30°C and thawed only at the time of testing. Control *P. marneffei* antigen and purified rabbit anti-*P. marneffei* IgG will be obtained from our collaboration with Dr. Desakorn (Mahidol University, Thailand). *P. marneffei* IgG will be labeled with FITC conjugate, and ELISA and agglutination assays will be performed at OUCRU according to Desalorn et al [53]. All samples will be tested in duplicate, and each test was repeated three times.

Analysis Plan:
Data will be analyzed with the assistance of Dr. Marcel Wolbers, OUCRU biostatistician using R computer software. At each ELISA cutoff titer, the sensitivity and the specificity will be calculated. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve is then constructed by plotting sensitivity against (1 − specificity) at each value. We will also evaluate baseline *P. marneffei* antigen titer as an independent predictors of disease outcome and evaluate the role of serial *P. marneffei* antigen titers in predicting treatment response.
Appendix G: Secondary Objective #12 - Cost effectiveness of itraconazole vs. amphotericin B for penicilliosis

**Purpose:** to conduct an economic evaluation to estimate the net cost of itraconazole versus amphotericin B therapy for penicilliosis

**Background:** As the cost differential between itraconazole and amphotericin B treatment is one of the reasons for undertaking the trial, it will be important to conduct a formal economic evaluation alongside the trial to ensure that all costs are accurately recorded, and to permit a cost-effectiveness analysis in the event that non-inferiority is not demonstrated (i.e. if itraconazole turns out to be cheaper but less effective). Hence, an economic evaluation will be conducted in collaboration with the Health Economics Research Centre, University of Oxford (PI: Prof. Alastair Gray).

**Experimental and data analysis plan:**
The objective of the analysis will be to estimate the net cost of itraconazole versus amphotericin B therapy, including medication costs, other treatments, hospital stays, and patient incurred costs, including loss of income for the patients and their care takers, out-of-pocket costs, and the need for transfer to tertiary centres. These information will be prospectively collected on each patient during the study and recorded in the health economic CRFs. Unit costs will be obtained from each trial centre and used to produce a net cost per patient in each arm of the study over the 2 week (primary) and 6 month (secondary) follow-up periods. In the event that non-inferiority is not demonstrated, the economic evaluation will assess cost-effectiveness as the ratio of the difference in cost to the difference in survival, expressed as life years gained. Although it is possible that itraconazole is better tolerated than amphotericin B, it is unlikely that these differences will be large enough to be detected in any form of simple disability adjustment or quality of life adjustment, and so it is not proposed that a cost per DALY averted or QALY gained is reported, or that information is collected prospectively on these metrics. Life years gained will be based on the primary outcome measure of survival at 2 weeks and also at 6 months. All estimates of costs, outcomes and cost-effectiveness will be reported with full recognition of uncertainty, including cost-effectiveness acceptability curve and sensitivity analyses around key parameters.
Appendix H: Secondary Objective #13 - Penicilliosis Immune Reconstitution Disease

**Purpose:** to study the incidence, clinical features, outcome, and outcome predictors of immune reconstitution disease (IRD) in penicilliosis

**Background:** HIV-associated IRD occurs in up to 30% of patients with opportunistic infections starting ART and is associated with higher morbidity and mortality, particularly in tuberculosis and cryptococcal meningitis. IRD has been reported but has not been systematically studied in penicilliosis. It is unknown whether penicilliosis IRD has worse clinical outcome. And as with other HIV-associated IRD, biomarkers to diagnose and to predict IRD in penicilliosis need further investigations.

**Experimental Plan:**
All trial participants with penicilliosis who are ART-naïve (estimated 80%) will be evaluated monthly for the development of IRD over a period of 6 month as they begin ART. 10ml of blood will be collected at enrollment to look for predictive biomarkers of IRD. For the patients who develop IRD during the first 6 months of ART, we will continue to follow the patients monthly during their routine clinic visit and will collect information about treatment and outcome of the IRD event. IRD events are defined based on the consensus criteria for general IRD according to the International Network for the study of HIV-associated IRIS. Biomarkers of immune dysfunction (levels and profile of cytokines/chemokines) that have been identified to predict and to differentiate IRD from other complications in other fungal opportunistic diseases such as cryptococcal meningitis will be studied. Other laboratory predictor variables that will be studied include: fungal clearance by quantitative culture and by serological assays, serum CRP, and D-dimer. Other AIDS-related or non-AIDS-related events that occur during the study follow up period will be classified and recorded.

**Data Analysis:**
Incidence, clinical features, management and outcome of penicilliosis IRD will be described. Clinical and laboratory variables will be compared between those with and without IRD in the study cohort. Multiple logistic regression analysis will be performed to identify independent predictors of IRD. Biomarkers that differentiate IRD from non-IRD events will be identified.
### Appendix I: WHO clinical staging for HIV/AIDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Stage 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asymptomatic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent generalised lymphadenopathy (PGL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance scale 1: asymptomatic, normal activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Stage 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss, &lt;10% of body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor mucocutaneous manifestations (seborrheic dermatitis, prurigo, fungal nail infections, recurrent oral ulcerations, angular cheilitis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes zoster, within the last 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent upper respiratory tract infections (e.g. bacterial sinusitis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And/or performance scale 2: symptomatic, normal activity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical stage 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss, &gt;10% of body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexplained chronic diarrhoea, &gt;1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexplained prolonged fever (intermittent or constant), &gt;1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral candidiasis (thrush)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral hairy leukoplakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary tuberculosis, within the past year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe bacterial infections (e.g. pneumonia, pyomyositis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And/or Performance scale 3: bed-ridden, &lt; 50% of the day during the last month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical stage 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV wasting syndrome, as defined by CDC $^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasmosis of the brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptosporidiosis with diarrhoea, &gt;1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptococcosis, extra pulmonary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytomegalovirus (CMV) disease of an organ other than liver, spleen or lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) infection, mucocutaneous &gt;1 month, or visceral any duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any disseminated endemic mycosis (e.g. histoplasmosis, coccidioidomycosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidiasis of the oesophagus, trachea, bronchi or lungs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical mycobacteriosis, disseminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-typhoid Salmonella septicaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-pulmonary tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV encephalopathy, as defined by CDC $^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And/or Performance scale 4: bed-ridden, &gt; 50% of the day during the last month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Both definitive and presumptive diagnoses are acceptable)
1 HIV wasting syndrome: weight loss of >10% of body weight, plus either unexplained chronic diarrhoea (>1 month), or chronic weakness and unexplained prolonged fever (>1 month).

2 HIV encephalopathy: clinical finding of disabling cognitive and/or motor dysfunction interfering with activities of daily living, progressing over weeks to months, in the absence of a concurrent illness or condition other than HIV infection that could explain the findings.
Appendix J: Itraconazole Drug Interactions

Itraconazole and its major metabolite, hydroxyitraconazole, are inhibitors of CYP3A4. Therefore, the following drug interactions may occur (See Table 1 below and the following drug class subheadings that follow):

Itraconazole may decrease the elimination of drugs metabolized by CYP3A4, resulting in increased plasma concentrations of these drugs when they are administered with itraconazole. These elevated plasma concentrations may increase or prolong both therapeutic and adverse effects of these drugs. Inducers of CYP3A4 may decrease the plasma concentrations of itraconazole. Itraconazole may not be effective in patients concomitantly taking itraconazole and one of these drugs. Therefore, administration of these drugs with itraconazole is not recommended. Other inhibitors of CYP3A4 may increase the plasma concentrations of itraconazole. Patients who must take itraconazole concomitantly with one of these drugs should be monitored closely for signs or symptoms of increased or prolonged pharmacologic effects of itraconazole.

Table 1: Selected Drugs that are predicted to alter the plasma concentration of itraconazole or have their plasma concentration altered by itraconazole

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Class</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antiarrhythmics</td>
<td>digoxin, dofetilide(^2), quinidine(^2), disopyramide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticonvulsants</td>
<td>carbamazepine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimycobacterials</td>
<td>rifabutin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antineoplastics</td>
<td>busulfan, docetaxel, vinca alkaloids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
<td>pimozide(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>alprazolam, diazepam, midazolam, triazolam(^2,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Channel Blockers</td>
<td>dihydropyridines, verapamil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal Motility Agents</td>
<td>cisapride(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMG CoA-Reductase Inhibitors</td>
<td>atorvastatin, cerivastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressants</td>
<td>cyclosporine, tacrolimus, sirolimus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Penicillium marneffei clinical trial protocol**

Drug plasma concentration increased by itraconazole

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral Hypoglycemics</th>
<th>Oral hypoglycemics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protease Inhibitors</td>
<td>indinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>levacetylmethadol (levomethadyl), ergot alkaloids, halofantrine, alfentanil, buspirone, methylprednisolone, budesonide, dexamethasone, trimetrexate, warfarin, cilostazol, eletriptan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Decrease plasma concentration of itraconazole

| Anticonvulsants     | carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin |
| Antimycobacterials  | isoniazid, rifabutin, rifampin |
| Gastric Acid        | antacids, H₂-receptor antagonists, proton pump inhibitors |
| Suppressor/Neutralizers | nevirapine |
| Non-nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors | nevirapine |

Increase plasma concentration of itraconazole

| Macrolide Antibiotics | clarithromycin, erythromycin |
| Protease Inhibitors   | indinavir, ritonavir |

1. This list is not all-inclusive.
2. Contraindicated with itraconazole based on clinical and/or pharmacokinetics studies.
3. For information on parenterally administered midazolam, see the Benzodiazepine paragraph below.

Antiarrhythmics: The class IA antiarrhythmic quinidine and class III antiarrhythmic dofetilide are known to prolong the QT interval. Co-administration of quinidine or dofetilide with itraconazole may increase plasma concentrations of quinidine or dofetilide which could result in serious cardiovascular events. Therefore, concomitant administration of itraconazole and quinidine or dofetilide is contraindicated.

The class IA antiarrhythmic disopyramide has the potential to increase the QT interval at high plasma concentrations. Caution is advised when itraconazole and disopyramide are administered concomitantly.
Concomitant administration of digoxin and itraconazole has led to increased plasma concentrations of digoxin.

Anticonvulsants: Reduced plasma concentrations of itraconazole were reported when itraconazole was administered concomitantly with phenytoin. Carbamazepine, phenobarbital, and phenytoin are all inducers of CYP3A4. Although interactions with carbamazepine and phenobarbital have not been studied, concomitant administration of itraconazole and these drugs would be expected to result in decreased plasma concentrations of itraconazole. In addition, in vivo studies have demonstrated an increase in plasma carbamazepine concentrations in subjects concomitantly receiving ketoconazole. Although there are no data regarding the effect of itraconazole on carbamazepine metabolism, because of the similarities between ketoconazole and itraconazole, concomitant administration of itraconazole and carbamazepine may inhibit the metabolism of carbamazepine.

Antimycobacterials: Drug interaction studies have demonstrated that plasma concentrations of azole antifungal agents and their metabolites, including itraconazole and hydroxyitraconazole, were significantly decreased when these agents were given concomitantly with rifabutin or rifampin. In vivo data suggest that rifabutin is metabolized in part by CYP3A4. Itraconazole may inhibit the metabolism of rifabutin. Although no formal study data are available for isoniazid, similar effects should be anticipated. Therefore, the efficacy of itraconazole could be substantially reduced if given concomitantly with one of these agents. Co administration is not recommended.

Antineoplastics: Itraconazole may inhibit the metabolism of busulfan, docetaxel, and vinca alkaloids.

Antipsychotics: Pimozide is known to prolong the QT interval and is partially metabolized by CYP3A4. Co administration of pimozide with itraconazole could result in serious cardiovascular events. Therefore, concomitant administration of itraconazole and pimozide is contraindicated.

Benzodiazepines: Concomitant administration of itraconazole and alprazolam, diazepam, oral midazolam, or triazolam could lead to increased plasma concentrations of these benzodiazepines. Increased plasma concentrations could potentiate and prolong hypnotic and sedative effects. Concomitant administration of itraconazole and oral midazolam or triazolam is contraindicated. If midazolam is administered parenterally, special precaution and patient monitoring is required since the sedative effect may be prolonged.

Calcium Channel Blockers: Edema has been reported in patients concomitantly receiving itraconazole and dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers. Appropriate dosage adjustment may be necessary.

Calcium channel blockers can have a negative inotropic effect which may be additive to those of itraconazole; itraconazole can inhibit the metabolism of calcium channel blockers such as dihydropyridines (e.g., nifedipine and felodipine) and verapamil. Therefore, caution should be used when co-administering itraconazole and calcium channel blockers.
Gastric Acid Suppressors/Neutralizers: Reduced plasma concentrations of itraconazole were reported when itraconazole capsules were administered concomitantly with H2-receptor antagonists. Studies have shown that absorption of itraconazole is impaired when gastric acid production is decreased. Therefore, itraconazole should be administered with a cola beverage if the patient has achlorhydria or is taking H2-receptor antagonists or other gastric acid suppressors. Antacids should be administered at least 1 hour before or 2 hours after administration of itraconazole capsules. In a clinical study, when itraconazole capsules were administered with omeprazole (a proton pump inhibitor), the bioavailability of itraconazole was significantly reduced.

Gastrointestinal Motility Agents: Co administration of itraconazole with cisapride can elevate plasma cisapride concentrations which could result in serious cardiovascular events. Therefore, concomitant administration of itraconazole with cisapride is contraindicated.

HMG CoA-Reductase Inhibitors: Human pharmacokinetic data suggest that itraconazole inhibits the metabolism of atorvastatin, cerivastatin, lovastatin, and simvastatin, which may increase the risk of skeletal muscle toxicity, including rhabdomyolysis. Concomitant administration of itraconazole with HMG CoA-reductase inhibitors, such as lovastatin and simvastatin, is contraindicated.

Immunosuppressants: Concomitant administration of itraconazole and cyclosporine or tacrolimus has led to increased plasma concentrations of these immunosuppressants. Concomitant administration of itraconazole and sirolimus could increase plasma concentrations of sirolimus.

Macrolide Antibiotics: Erythromycin and clarithromycin are known inhibitors of CYP3A4 (See Table 1) and may increase plasma concentrations of itraconazole. In a small pharmacokinetic study involving HIV infected patients, clarithromycin was shown to increase plasma concentrations of itraconazole. Similarly, following administration of 1 gram of erythromycin ethyl succinate and 200 mg itraconazole as single doses, the mean Cmax and AUC∞ of itraconazole increased by 44% (90% CI: 119-175%) and 36% (90% CI: 108-171%), respectively.

Non-nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors: Nevirapine is an inducer of CYP3A4. In vivo studies have shown that nevirapine induces the metabolism of ketoconazole, significantly reducing the bioavailability of ketoconazole. Studies involving nevirapine and itraconazole have not been conducted. However, because of the similarities between ketoconazole and itraconazole, concomitant administration of itraconazole and nevirapine is not recommended.

In a clinical study, when 8 HIV-infected subjects were treated concomitantly with itraconazole capsules 100 mg twice daily and the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor zidovudine 8 ± 0.4 mg/kg/day, the pharmacokinetics of zidovudine were not affected. Other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors have not been studied.

Oral Hypoglycemic Agents: Severe hypoglycemia has been reported in patients concomitantly receivingazole antifungal agents and oral hypoglycemic agents. Blood
glucose concentrations should be carefully monitored when itraconazole and oral hypoglycemic agents are coadministered.

Polyenes: Prior treatment with itraconazole, like other azoles, may reduce or inhibit the activity of polyenes such as amphotericin B. However, the clinical significance of this drug effect has not been clearly defined.

Protease Inhibitors: Concomitant administration of itraconazole and protease inhibitors metabolized by CYP3A4, such as indinavir, ritonavir, and saquinavir, may increase plasma concentrations of these protease inhibitors. In addition, concomitant administration of itraconazole and indinavir and ritonavir (but not saquinavir) may increase plasma concentrations of itraconazole. Caution is advised when itraconazole and protease inhibitors must be given concomitantly.

Other:

- Levacetylmethadol (levomethadyl) is known to prolong the QT interval and is metabolized by CYP3A4. Co-administration of levacetylmethadol with itraconazole could result in serious cardiovascular events. Therefore, concomitant administration of itraconazole and levacetylmethadol is contraindicated.
- Elevated concentrations of ergot alkaloids can cause ergotism, i.e. a risk for vasospasm potentially leading to cerebral ischemia and/or ischemia of the extremities. Concomitant administration of ergot alkaloids such as dihydroergotamine, ergometrine (ergonovine), ergotamine and methylergometrine (methylergonovine) with itraconazole is contraindicated.
- Halofantrine has the potential to prolong the QT interval at high plasma concentrations. Caution is advised when itraconazole and halofantrine are administered concomitantly.
- *In vitro* data suggest that alfentanil is metabolized by CYP3A4. Administration with itraconazole may increase plasma concentrations of alfentanil.
- Human pharmacokinetic data suggest that concomitant administration of itraconazole and buspirone results in significant increases in plasma concentrations of buspirone.
- Itraconazole may inhibit the metabolism of certain glucocorticosteroids such as budesonide, dexamethasone and methylprednisolone.
- *In vitro* data suggest that trimetrexate is extensively metabolized by CYP3A4. *In vitro* animal models have demonstrated that ketoconazole potently inhibits the metabolism of trimetrexate. Although there are no data regarding the effect of itraconazole on trimetrexate metabolism, because of the similarities between ketoconazole and itraconazole, concomitant administration of itraconazole and trimetrexate may inhibit the metabolism of trimetrexate.
- Itraconazole enhances the anticoagulant effect of coumarin-like drugs, such as warfarin.

Cilostazol and eletriptan are CYP3A4 metabolized drugs that should be used with caution when co-administered with itraconazole.